## Scorecard CPHR Manitoba Case Competition

Judge Name:	
Team Number:	
Discipline:	Human Resource Management

Situational	Acknowledged the human resource management dilemma	/25
Analysis	presented in the case	123
1 11101 ) 515	Understanding of industry and organization demonstrated	
	Primary and secondary issues/challenges identified and defined	
	<ul> <li>Qualitative and/or quantitative analysis used and supported</li> </ul>	
	effectively	
	Assumptions and justifications are supported	
	Critical insights and/or implications are discussed	
Strategic	Proposed recommendation considers human resource	/20
Recommendation	management dilemma	0
	Possible alternatives discussed are meaningful and add value	
	The selection criteria used to choose the recommendation are	
	identified and relevant	
	Strategy proposed addresses key issues/challenges identified	
	Recommendation is persuasive, feasible, and realistic	
Implementation	Comprehensive and actionable timeline with key performance	/30
-	indicators and/or milestones are identified	
	Implementation aligns with human resource management	
	dilemma addressed	
	Possible costs identified and justified	
	Possible risks and mitigation discussed effectively	
Q&A	Team is persuasive, cohesive, and balanced in their responses	/10
Management	and effectively defends their recommendation	
	Questions are answered accurately and are consistent with	
	recommendation	
Presentation	Each part of the presentation adds value with repetitive	/15
Structure &	information brought forth only when pertinent	
Delivery	• Entire team is poised, professional, and exemplifies confidence	
	through their body language	
	Entire team uses clear voices with effective word choice,	
	volume, pace, and tone.	
	Effective use of professional slides which flow in a logical	
	sequence and has minimal grammatical and/or spelling errors.	/1.00
	Total:	/100

*Note:* This evaluation form was adapted from the JDC West Academic Scorecard (A) which is used for non-quantitative academic disciplines.