

CANADIAN UNIVERSITY SURVEY CONSORTIUM

2015 GRADUATING UNIVERSITY STUDENT SURVEY

UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG

June 2015

Prepared for: Canadian University Survey Consortium/ Consortium canadien de recherche sur les étudiants universitaires

AGREEMENT FOR DATA USE

Members of the consortium are bound by the following Agreement for the control of survey data. It was agreed by the participants that data are owned collectively and will be distributed only by collective agreement.

1. TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Each participating member institution of the Canadian University Survey Consortium / Consortium canadien de recherche sur les étudiants universitaires (CUSC/CCREU) has committed, through a signed agreement, to terms and conditions regarding the collection, storage, and use of survey data and the dissemination of related reports as follows:

- A. The Corporation hereby known as the Canadian University Survey Consortium / Consortium canadien de recherche sur les étudiants universitaires (CUSC/CCREU) coordinates surveys of students in member institutions, facilitates the exchange of the survey data among member institutions, and promotes awareness of the value of the surveys among university administrators and policy makers in the post-secondary educational system.
- B. The survey data and analysis have five broad purposes for the members:
 - 1) to better understand and track student experience and satisfaction with many aspects of the institutions they attend
 - 2) to improve student educational outcomes
 - 3) to improve the services available to students
 - 4) to benchmark for purposes of internal management and decision making
 - 5) to contribute to accountability reports to the governing bodies of member institutions, governments, and the public
- C. The exchange of confidential data among member institutions requires goodwill and trust among the member institutions. This Agreement shall be guided by the principle that member institutions of CUSC/CCREU will act in the best interests of all member institutions of the Corporation. The primary consideration in issues of disclosure of research results shall be the avoidance of public comparisons that could damage the reputation of a member institution.
- D. Statistical measures and analysis of survey data may be of interest to wider audiences than the members of the Corporation for policy formulation, advocacy, or publication of research. Members of the Corporation are encouraged to make best use of the survey data, including publication of research results while observing confidentiality requirements.
- E. The Corporation and each member institution define their respective obligations in relation to the use of the data that is shared between the Corporation and the Members as follows:



Definitions:

In this Agreement, unless the context requires or specifies otherwise:

- a. "Data" means an electronic record of the answers to the questions on the survey instrument given by each respondent at the universities that participated in the survey.
- b. "Aggregate Data" means all of the data or data for groups of universities. Generally, aggregate data is expressed as statistics and research findings across data drawn from all universities or groups of universities.
- c. "Member Institution" means a university that is a member of CUSC/CCREU.
- d. "Publish" means dissemination of research findings beyond the senior administration of a member institution.
- e. "Senior Administration" means the officer of a member institution with overall responsibility for academic programs and student services.

2. OWNERSHIP OF SURVEY DATA

The data collected in surveys of students attending a member institution is the property of that institution.

3. EXCHANGE OF SURVEY DATA AMONG MEMBERS

Each member institution will make its survey data available to other member institutions for the general purposes as outlined in Parts A to E above. Each member institution is bound by restrictions on the use and disclosure of data belonging to other member institutions.

4. COMPARISONS LIMITED TO AGGREGATE DATA

The only interuniversity comparisons permitted for publication or circulation beyond a member institution's senior administration are those based on the aggregate data for all member institutions or the aggregate data for the groups of member institutions identified by CUSC/CCREU.

A member institution may prepare and circulate reports based on aggregated data from selected groups of member institutions for internal use only to senior administrators of its institution.

5. LIMITATIONS ON THE USE OF DATA

A member institution may not publish statistical measures or analysis of its own data for purposes of institutional promotion in a manner that would harm the reputation of another member institution.

A member institution may not publish statistical measures or analysis of data collected at another member institution with the name of the institution disclosed. Member institutions may publish statistical measures and analysis of their own data.

A member institution may not publish statistical measures or analysis of data collected at another member institution that would allow an informed reader to identify the institution by reference to location, uncommon programs, or other information contained in the published material.



6. REQUIREMENTS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

A member institution may make available to its senior administrators statistical measures and analysis of data from another member institution, with the identity of the member disclosed, for the purposes outlined in Parts A–E above. The member institution disclosing the identity of another member institution in these circumstances must ensure that those to whom the information is made available are aware of its confidential nature and restricted audience.

A member institution may be requested to disclose data or statistical measures under freedom of information legislation or other requirements for accountability. In these circumstances, member institutions may disclose their own data to fulfill the request. Member institutions shall not disclose data that belongs to other member institutions unless the request explicitly demands it and legal counsel advises that the request must be fulfilled. If it must be fulfilled, the member institution shall notify immediately the other member institution(s). If it does not have to be fulfilled the requester should be referred to the other member institution(s), which should be notified immediately.

7. EXCLUSIVE USE OF INSTRUMENTS AND METHODOLOGY

The survey instruments and methodology are for the exclusive use of the member institutions and are not to be shared with organizations outside the Corporation.

8. ACCESS TO AGGREGATE DATA

Access to the aggregate data for research purposes may be granted to interested persons, provided the intended use is a legitimate, non-commercial one, and the researcher is qualified and agrees to acknowledge ownership of the data by participating universities and provide the consortium with a copy of any report or publication that is produced. Decisions on such requests will be made by the CUSC/CCREU Board of Directors in consultation with members of the consortium (all participating institutions) in the case of requests that seem problematic.



Table of Contents

AGREEMENT FOR DATA USE

EXE	CUTIVE	E SUMMARY	
1.0	Introd	luctionluction	1
	1.1	Methodology	
	1.2	Response rates	
	1.3	Weighting	
	1.4	University comparisons	
	1.5	Statistically significant differences	
	1.6	Non-response	
2.0	Profil	le of graduating students	6
	2.1	Personal profile	<i>6</i>
	2.2	Disabilities	8
	2.3	Living arrangements	9
	2.4	Main method of commuting to campus	
	2.5	Parents' education	10
3.0	Acad	emic history	11
	3.1	Academic profile	11
	3.2	Work and learning program experience	13
	3.3	Delays in completion of program	13
4.0	Stude	ent activities	
	4.1	Involvement in campus activities	
	4.2	Involvement in community service and volunteer activities	15
	4.3	Study patterns	16
5.0	Curre	ent employment	17
6.0		ational experiences	
	6.1	Perceptions of professors	
	6.2	Ability to evaluate teaching	
	6.3	Satisfaction with quality of teaching	
	6.4	Perceptions of staff	21
7.0		ersity's contribution to students' growth and development	
	7.1	Contribution to communication skills	
	7.2	Contribution to analytical and learning skills	
	7.3	Contribution to working skills	
	7.4	Contribution to life skills	
	7.5	Most important areas	24



8.0	Evalu	ation of student experiences	25
	8.1	Satisfaction with university experiences	
	8.2	Meeting students' expectations	
	8.3	Satisfaction with concern shown by student as an individual	
	8.4	Satisfaction with decision to attend this university	
	8.5	Overall quality of education at this university	27
	8.6	Value for money	
	8.7	Feel as if they belong at this university	
	8.8	Recommend university to others	
9.0	Educa	ational goals	29
	9.1	Change majors or programs since beginning studies	29
	9.2	Post-graduation plans	29
	9.3	Career planning	
	9.4	Steps taken for employment post-graduation	31
10.0	Satisf	action with facilities and services	32
	10.1	General facilities and services	32
	10.2	Academic services	33
	10.3	Special services	34
11.0	Finan	cing post-secondary education	35
	11.1	Credit cards	35
	11.2	Debt sources	36
	11.3	Sources of university funding	37
12.0	Post-g	graduation educational plans	40
	12.1	Plans for additional schooling	40
	12.2	Impact of debt on future education	41
13.0	•	oyment plans	
	13.1	Profile of post-graduation employment	
	13.2	Earnings	
	13.3	Satisfaction with employment	43

Appendix A – 2015 CUSC-CCREU Survey



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

The 2015 *Graduating Student Survey* marks the 21st cooperative study undertaken by the Canadian University Survey Consortium/Consortium canadien de recherche sur les étudiants universitaires (CUSC-CCREU). The 2015 survey involved 36 universities and over 18,000 graduating university students from across Canada.

Profile of graduating students

The demographic profile of graduating students shows the following:

- ► Graduating students tend to be female (60%), about 24 years old, single (64%), and a Canadian citizen (89%). About 32% self-report as a being a member of a visible minority, with another 4% self-identifying as being Aboriginal.
- ▶ About 17% self-identify as having a disability, most often mental health (8%). Among those with a disability, 31% require accommodation for their disability.
- ▶ The majority of students live independently, most often in rented housing (52%).
- ► Graduating students most commonly take public transportation (42%) to campus, although about 1 in 3 use a vehicle, more often driving alone (27%) than with others (5%).
- ▶ Just over 1 in 10 (13%) graduating students are first-generation students that is, neither parent had any post-secondary education.

Academic history of graduating students

The typical student is studying full time (82%) with a B- to B + (54%) or A- or higher (34%) average.

Students report some issues with their post-secondary studies, as 36% have delayed completing their program, 22% have interrupted their studies for one or more terms, and 19% of students have transferred from another university.

Student activities

About 25% of graduating students have volunteered in the past year, on or off campus, spending about five hours per week (among those volunteering). Students spend about 32 hours per week on academic activities, divided fairly evenly between in class/lab time (14.5 hours) and outside class/lab time (17.9 hours). As might be expected, students with higher grades tend to spend more time on academic work outside of class than those with lower grades.



Current employment

About 59% of graduating students are employed, working about 18 hours per week. Among those who are employed, 42% say their work has at least somewhat of a negative impact on their academic performance.

Educational experiences

Students rated their satisfaction with various educational experiences, which included the following:

- ▶ Most students report having had positive experiences with professors. In fact, among 15 aspects of interaction with professors, only two areas (*professors take a personal interest in their academic progress* and *professors provide prompt feedback on academic work*) receive less than 70% agreement.
- ▶ Overall, almost all students say they were given the chance to evaluate the quality of teaching in their courses, including 71% who say they were able to evaluate the teaching in all their courses.
- ▶ Almost 9 in 10 agree that they are *generally satisfied with the quality of teaching they* received, including 21% who strongly agree. Those with higher grades tend to be more likely to agree with this statement.
- ▶ About 8 in 10 graduating students agree that *most university support staff are helpful* and 7 in 10 agree that *most teaching assistants in my academic program are helpful*.

Contribution to growth and development

Overall, students rated the contribution their university made to 29 skills, which were grouped into four categories (discussed below):

- ► Communication skills. Universities contributed most to students' growth and development for writing clearly and correctly (63% much or very much) and speaking to small groups (63%). They contributed least to second or third language skills (20%).
- ▶ Analytical and learning skills Among the eight analytical and learning skills, students indicated that universities contributed most to *ability to find and use information* (75%) and *thinking logically and analytically* (73%), and least to *mathematical skills* (32%).
- ▶ Working skills. Universities contributed most to students' ability to work independently (75%) and least to *entrepreneurial skills* (22%).
- ▶ **Life skills**. Among the 10 life skills, universities contributed most to the *ability to interact* with people from backgrounds different than their own (64%), dealing successfully with obstacles to achieve an objective (63%), time management skills (61%) and persistence with difficult tasks (60%). On the lower end, universities contributed least to spirituality (17%).

Among all 29 areas, students ranked the top three most important areas their university should be contributing. Results indicate that *thinking logically and analytically* (46%) is ranked in the top three by almost half of students, more than doubling the next most ranked area (*skills and knowledge for employment* and *time management skills* at 21% each).



Evaluation of student experiences

When rating their experiences at university, students indicate the following:

- ▶ Overall, the majority (59%) of students say that their experiences *met their expectations*, while 23% say their university experiences *exceeded their expectations*. Few (18%) say their experiences *fell short*.
- Almost 6 in 10 students say they are satisfied with the *concern shown by the university* for them as an individual, although just 10% are very satisfied.
- ▶ Almost 9 in 10 students are satisfied with *their decision to attend their university*, including 34% who are very satisfied.
- ▶ More than 8 in 10 say they are satisfied with the *overall quality of education at their university*, including 23% who are very satisfied.
- ▶ Although students are satisfied with the overall quality of their education, for many the value for the cost of their education may be an issue as about 2 in 3 agree that they received good value for their money at their university. This includes 15% who strongly agree.
- ▶ About 8 in 10 agree that they *feel as if they belong at this university*, including 24% who strongly agree.
- ▶ Overall, 88% of students would *recommend their university to others*.

Educational goals

About 38% of graduating students expect to apply to graduate school and 22% expect to apply to a professional school after graduating. Overall, 37% of graduating students have a specific career in mind, while another 38% have several possible careers. About 7 in 10 students say they know their career options at least fairly well, with 25% saying they know their options very well.

Almost all graduating students have taken at least one step to prepare for employment or a career after graduation, most often these steps are informal, such as *talking with friends* (78%), *parents* (77%), or *professors* (51%) about career options. Among more concrete behaviours, about 3 in 4 have a resume or CV (76%), while about half have worked in their chosen field of employment (45%).



Satisfaction with facilities and services

Students indicated their use and satisfaction with several different facilities and services, which are categorized below.

- ▶ General facilities and services. Among those who provided a rating of the service, results show students are most satisfied with *library electronic resources* (39%), *campus medical services* (35%) *on-campus library* (35%), and *athletic facilities* (30%), and least satisfied with *food services* (11%) and *parking facilities* (6%).
- ▶ Academic services. Among those who have used the academic service, students report very high levels of satisfaction, ranging from 80% to 90% who report being satisfied or very satisfied. Even when only very satisfied responses are examined, results are very similar with proportions ranging from 26% to 30%.
- ▶ **Special services.** Satisfaction with special services is very high, with at least 79% of those who used the service saying there are satisfied or very satisfied with it. However, there are some noticeable differences among the proportion reporting they are very satisfied, ranging from 46% for *services for students with disabilities* to 20% for *employment services*.

Financing education and current employment

Several questions regarding how students finance their university education were asked.

- ► Credit cards. About 92% of graduating students have at least one credit card, and just 23% of those with a credit card do not pay off their balance each month. Among those who do not pay their balance, their average unpaid debt is \$2,224.
- ▶ **Debt.** About 50% of students report debt related to financing their university education, most often from *government student loans* (40%). The average debt among those reporting any debt is \$26,819, with 29% of all students reporting debt of \$20,000 or greater.
- ▶ **Financing.** On average students use about three sources to fund their education, most commonly *parents*, *family or spouse* (60%), with many also using earnings from *current* (49%) or *summer* (44%) *employment* and *government loans or bursaries* (41%). To fund their current academic year, the typical student requires just under \$15,800 from all sources.

Post-graduation education plans

Overall, 70% of students plan on taking further education, most commonly graduate school (53%). For some, debt plays a role in their decision about taking further education, as about 4 in 10 students say debt has some impact, either *discouraging them from taking more education* (23%), preventing them from taking more education (9%), or encouraging them because they need more education to repay their debt (8%).



Employment plans

Overall, 31% of students have employment arranged for work after graduating. Among those who have arranged work, 44% say it is strongly related to the *skills and knowledge they acquired* and 38% say it *required their specific degree*. On average, students expect to be earning about \$7,000 per month, although the median (\$3,000) is much lower.

Overall, over 8 in 10 graduating students who have employment arranged for after graduation are satisfied with the employment they have arranged, including 28% who are very satisfied. Analyses reveal that students who are very satisfied are more likely to be working in jobs that are full time, permanent, new, strongly related to their skills and knowledge learned, and higher paying.



1.0 Introduction

Since 1994, the Canadian University Survey Consortium/Consortium canadien de recherche sur les étudiants universitaires (CUSC-CCREU) has coordinated surveys of students attending member institutions and facilitated sharing the survey data among its member institutions. The surveys and shared data have the following five broad purposes:

- ▶ to better understand and track students' experiences and satisfaction with many aspects of the universities they attend
- ▶ to improve students' educational outcomes
- ▶ to improve the services available to students
- ▶ to benchmark for purposes of internal management and decision making
- ▶ to contribute to accountability reports for the governing bodies of member institutions, governments, and the public

This is the 21st cooperative study undertaken by CUSC-CCREU. Prior to 2014, the surveys ran in a three-year cycle, targeting *first-year*, *graduating*, and *all students* in separate years. In 2014, the *All Students* survey was changed to a survey of middle-years students (i.e., students in the second or third year of a four-year program, second year of a three-year program, or second to fourth year of a five-year program).

Table 1 shows the types of students CUSC-CCREU has surveyed and the number of participating universities each year.

Table 1: Past CUSC-CCREU surveys						
Year	Sample	Number of participating universities				
1994	All undergraduates	8				
1996	All undergraduates	10				
1997	Graduating students	9				
1998	First-year students	19				
1999	All undergraduates	23				
2000	Graduating students	22				
2001	First-year students	26				
2002	All undergraduates	30				
2003	Graduating students	26				
2004	First-year students	27				
2005	All undergraduates	28				
2006	Graduating students	25				
2007	First-year students	34				
2008	All undergraduates	31				
2009	Graduating students	34				
2010	First-year students	38				
2011	All undergraduates	25				
2012	Graduating students	37				
2013	First-year students	35				
2014	Middle-years students	28				
2015	Graduating students	36				



1.1 Methodology

1.1.1 Questionnaire design

CUSC-CCREU surveys run on a three-year cycle, targeting a different group of undergraduate Baccalaureate students each year. Starting in 2014, CUSC-CCREU redesigned the surveys with a core set of questions that is common to all three surveys and questions that are specific to each group of students. The final questionnaire for the 2015 *Graduating Student Survey* can be found in Appendix A.

1.1.2 Surveying students

Each university supported the study by generating a sample of qualifying students. In some cases, institutions provided all qualifying students, while others provided a random sample of students.

PRA was responsible for managing the online survey. This involved liaising with the participating universities, providing the company contracted to host the online survey with a database of student email addresses, preparing the introductory and reminder emails to students, and responding to student questions about questionnaire content, as well as technical questions about using the online survey.



1.2 Response rates

Table 2 shows the response rates by university, which ranged from 15.7% to 61.8%, with an overall response rate of 31.5%. This yielded 18,144 students who completed the survey.

Table 2: Survey response rate						
	Surve	eys	Response			
University	Distributed	Completed	rate			
Brock University	3,071	941	30.6%			
Carleton University	3,000	1030	34.3%			
Concordia University	4,624	1037	22.4%			
Concordia University College of Alberta	263	88	33.5%			
Dalhousie University	1,750	795	45.4%			
Lakehead University	1,000	418	41.8%			
MacEwan University	2,788	507	18.2%			
McGill University	3,475	564	16.2%			
Memorial University of Newfoundland	1,785	449	25.2%			
Mount Royal University	1,477	573	38.8%			
Nipissing University	956	408	42.7%			
Redeemer University College	168	83	49.4%			
Ryerson University	5,259	1785	33.9%			
Saint Mary's University	888	339	38.2%			
Simon Fraser University	1,000	606	60.6%			
St. Francis Xavier University	860	484	56.3%			
St. Thomas University	371	214	57.7%			
The King's University College	110	68	61.8%			
Thompson Rivers University	792	261	33.0%			
Trinity Western University	287	136	47.4%			
Université de Moncton	807	377	46.7%			
Université de Sherbrooke	1,492	376	25.2%			
University of Lethbridge	1,322	392	29.7%			
University of Manitoba	2,125	607	28.6%			
University of New Brunswick (Fredericton)	1,000	331	33.1%			
University of New Brunswick (Saint John)	496	157	31.7%			
University of Ontario Institute of Technology	2,328	850	36.5%			
University of Ottawa	1,000	357	35.7%			
University of Regina	1,000	461	46.1%			
University of Saskatchewan	1,500	431	28.7%			
University of the Fraser Valley	1,085	434	40.0%			
University of Victoria	1,000	454	45.4%			
University of Waterloo	2,000	314	15.7%			
University of Winnipeg	1,761	638	36.2%			
Vancouver Island University	1,295	241	18.6%			
Wilfrid Laurier University	3,413	938	27.5%			
Total	57,548	18,144	31.5%			

PRA

PRA defined a completed survey as student who answered up to the *Living Arrangements* section (approximately 80% of the questions).

1.3 Weighting

In order to compensate for the discrepancies between the population of graduating students among participating institutions and the sample population, the data in this report have been weighted. The applied weights are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Applied weights							
University	-	of graduating ents	Complete	Applied			
University	Population	% of population	Population	% of population	weight		
Brock University	3071	4.02%	941	5.19%	0.77		
Carleton University	5211	6.81%	1030	5.68%	1.20		
Concordia University	4624	6.05%	1037	5.72%	1.06		
Concordia University College of Alberta	263	0.34%	88	0.49%	0.71		
Dalhousie University	1750	2.29%	795	4.38%	0.52		
Lakehead University	1557	2.04%	418	2.30%	0.88		
MacEwan University	2788	3.65%	507	2.79%	1.30		
McGill University	6949	9.09%	564	3.11%	2.92		
Memorial University of Newfoundland	1785	2.33%	449	2.47%	0.94		
Mount Royal University	1477	1.93%	573	3.16%	0.61		
Nipissing University	956	1.25%	408	2.25%	0.56		
Redeemer University College	168	0.22%	83	0.46%	0.48		
Ryerson University	5259	6.88%	1785	9.84%	0.70		
Saint Mary's University	683	0.89%	339	1.87%	0.48		
Simon Fraser University	1778	2.32%	606	3.34%	0.70		
St. Francis Xavier University	860	1.12%	484	2.67%	0.42		
St. Thomas University	332	0.43%	214	1.18%	0.37		
The King's University College	110	0.14%	68	0.37%	0.38		
Thompson Rivers University	640	0.84%	261	1.44%	0.58		
Trinity Western University	475	0.62%	136	0.75%	0.83		
Université de Moncton	807	1.06%	377	2.08%	0.51		
Université de Sherbrooke	1493	1.95%	376	2.07%	0.94		
University of Lethbridge	1340	1.75%	392	2.16%	0.81		
University of Manitoba	2125	2.78%	607	3.35%	0.83		
University of New Brunswick (Fredericton)	1636	2.14%	331	1.82%	1.17		
University of New Brunswick (Saint John)	496	0.65%	157	0.87%	0.75		
University of Ontario Institute of Technology	2328	3.04%	850	4.68%	0.65		
University of Ottawa	7615	9.96%	357	1.97%	5.06		
University of Regina	1016	1.33%	461	2.54%	0.52		
University of Saskatchewan	2532	3.31%	431	2.38%	1.39		
University of the Fraser Valley	1085	1.42%	434	2.39%	0.59		
University of Victoria	1966	2.57%	454	2.50%	1.03		
University of Waterloo	4831	6.32%	314	1.73%	3.65		
University of Winnipeg	1761	2.30%	638	3.52%	0.65		
Vancouver Island University	1305	1.71%	241	1.33%	1.28		
Wilfrid Laurier University	3413	4.46%	938	5.17%	0.86		



1.4 University comparisons

For comparison purposes, participating universities were categorized into three groups, shown in Table 4.

- ► Group 1 consists of universities that offer primarily undergraduate studies and that have smaller student populations.
- ► Group 2 consists of universities that offer both undergraduate and graduate studies and that tend to be of medium size in terms of student population.
- ▶ Group 3 consists of universities that offer both undergraduate and graduate degrees, with most having professional schools as well. These tend to be the largest institutions in terms of student populations.

Table 4: Categories of participating universities							
Group 1 (n = 16)	Group 2 (n = 12)	Group 3 (n = 8)					
Concordia University College of Alberta	Brock University	Concordia University					
MacEwan University	Carleton University	Dalhousie University					
Mount Royal University	Lakehead University	McGill University					
Nipissing University	Ryerson University	Memorial University of Newfoundland					
Redeemer University College	Simon Fraser University	Université de Sherbrooke					
Saint Mary's University	Thompson Rivers University	University of Manitoba					
St. Francis Xavier University	Université de Moncton	University of Ottawa					
St. Thomas University	University of New Brunswick (Fredericton)	University of Saskatchewan					
The King's University College	University of Regina						
Trinity Western University	University of Victoria						
University of Lethbridge	University of Waterloo						
University of New Brunswick (Saint John)	Wilfrid Laurier University						
University of Ontario Institute of Technology							
University of the Fraser Valley							
University of Winnipeg							
Vancouver Island University							

1.5 Statistically significant differences

Large sample sizes may inflate measures of statistical significance and may lead to false conclusions about the strength of association. The chi-square measure of association, in particular, is susceptible to this possibility. Therefore, the standards for designating whether a relationship can be termed *statistically significant* have been increased: the Pearson's chi-square must have probability of a type 1 error of less than .001 and either the Phi coefficient or Cramer's V must have a value of .150 or greater. Throughout this document, any differences reported meet these criteria, unless otherwise stated.

Table 5: Criteria for statistical significance	
Test	Level for significance
Pearson's chi-square	<.001
Phi coefficient or Cramer's V	.150 or higher

1.6 Non-response

Non-responses have not been included in the analysis. Therefore, throughout this report, unless explicitly stated as a subpopulation, overall results exclude those who did not respond to a particular question.



2.0 Profile of graduating students

2.1 Personal profile

Results in Table 6 show the following:

- ▶ There are about one-and-a-half times more females (60%) than males (40%) who completed the survey. Although it is not statistically significant, it appears that the larger the institution, the smaller the gap between males and females, as the proportion of males increases from 34% among Group 1 universities to 44% among Group 3 universities.
- ▶ Although the average age of students is just under 24, 53% of students are 21 or 22. Those attending Group 1 universities (24.4 years) tend to be older on average than those attending Group 2 (23.4) or Group 3 (23.2), although the difference is not statistically different.
- ► Given students' ages, it is not surprising that just 10% report being married and 5% have children.
- ▶ About 89% of students are Canadian citizens, with the remaining students almost equally split among those who are international students (7%) or permanent residents (5%).
- ▶ Overall, 32% of students report being a visible minority, and 4% self-identify as being Aboriginal. Among students nationally, the most common visible minority groups include Chinese (9% of all students), South Asian (6%), and Black (4%).



Table 6: Personal profile							
•	All		Group		University of		
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg		
_	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)		
Gender							
Male	40%	34%	40%	44%	32%		
Female	60%	66%	60%	56%	68%		
Other	<1%	<1%					
Age at beginning of year							
20 and under	8%	6%	7%	10%	13%		
21 to 22	53%	46%	57%	52%	38%		
23 to 24	20%	22%	20%	19%	23%		
25 to 29	12%	16%	9%	12%	14%		
30 and above	7%	10%	7%	6%	11%		
Average age	23.6	24.4	23.4	23.2	24.2		
Marital status							
Single	64%	59%	65%	65%	56%		
In a relationship	26%	25%	26%	26%	28%		
Married or common-law	10%	16%	9%	9%	16%		
Children							
Yes	5%	8%	5%	4%	8%		
- Under 5 years old	2%	4%	2%	2%	4%		
- 5 to 11 years old	2%	4%	2%	2%	4%		
- 12 years old or older	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%		
Citizenship							
Canadian citizen	89%	92%	89%	86%	91%		
International student	7%	5%	6%	8%	5%		
Permanent resident	5%	3%	5%	5%	4%		
Visible minority*	<u>.</u>						
Total self-identified	32%	24%	36%	33%	20%		
Aboriginal**	<u>.</u>						
Total self-identified	4%	6%	3%	4%	13%		

^{* &}quot;Visible minority" includes respondents who self-identified as belonging to a group other than "Aboriginal", "Inuit", "Métis", or "White".

Difference by age. As expected, older students are more likely to report having children and being married/common-law.



^{** &}quot;Aboriginal" includes respondents who self-identified as "Aboriginal".

2.2 Disabilities

Overall, 17% of graduating students self-identify as having a disability. The most common disabilities reported by students are mental health (8%), vision impairment (3%), and attention deficit (3%).

Among those with a disability, 31% say their disability requires accommodation from their university, with those with a learning disability (62%) being most likely to say their disability requires accommodation. The least likely of those are those with a vision impairment (9%), perhaps indicating that students who require glasses may have indicated having a vision impairment.

Among those who require accommodation for their disability, 61% say the accommodation was adequate, while just 9% say it was not adequate. Students at Group 1 universities (70%) are more likely than those attending Group 2 (64%) or Group 3 (54%) universities to say the accommodations are adequate.

Table 7: Disabilities						
	All		Group		University of	
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg	
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)	
Disability						
Total self-identified	17%	16%	18%	16%	14%	
Require accommodation*						
Yes	31%	29%	31%	32%	25%	
Accommodation is adequate**						
Yes	61%	70%	64%	54%	64%	
Partly	30%	23%	31%	32%	32%	
No	9%	7%	6%	14%	5%	
* The proportion is out of those who iden	ntify as having a disa	hility		l .		

^{*} The proportion is out of those who identify as having a disability.



^{**} The proportion is out of those who identify as having a disability that requires accommodation.

2.3 Living arrangements

As Table 8 shows, a majority of students live on their own, most commonly renting their accommodations either off campus (52%) or on campus (3%). Slightly less than 4 in 10 (37%) graduating students live with parents, guardians, or relatives. Among those not currently living on campus, just 18% say they would prefer to live on campus if they had the choice.

Table 8: Living arrangements						
	All		Group		University of	
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg	
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)	
In rented housing off-campus (shared or alone)	52%	41%	56%	54%	29%	
With parents, guardians, or relatives	37%	41%	34%	37%	55%	
In personally-owned home	6%	10%	6%	5%	12%	
In on-campus housing	3%	5%	3%	2%	2%	
Other	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	

Difference by age. The older a student is, the more likely they are to report living in a personally-owned home and less likely to be living with parents, guardians, or relatives.

2.4 Main method of commuting to campus

Graduating students most commonly take public transportation (42%) to campus, although about 1 in 3 use a vehicle, more often driving alone (27%) than with others (5%). Many students also report walking to campus (21%).

Likely based on where the universities are located, students in Group 3 (49%) and Group 2 (45%) universities are more likely than those in Group 1 (25%) universities to use public transportation. They are also more likely to walk, with 26% of Group 3 students reporting most often walking compared to 20% of Group 2 and 15% of Group 1 students. Conversely, Group 1 (48%) students are more likely to drive alone to universities than Group 2 (25%) or Group 3 (17%) students.

Table 9: Methods of transportation					
	All		Group		University of
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)
Public transportation	42%	25%	45%	49%	41%
Vehicle (alone)	27%	48%	25%	17%	30%
Walk	21%	15%	20%	26%	13%
Vehicle (with others)	5%	8%	5%	5%	11%
Bicycle	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%
Other	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Don't attend campus	1%	2%	2%	<1%	<1%

Difference by age. The older a student is, the more likely they are to report driving to school alone, and less likely to take public transportation or walk.



2.5 Parents' education

Just over 1 in 10 (13%) graduating students are first-generation students. That is, neither parent had any post-secondary education. In contrast, over half (53%) of students had at least one parent who completed a university or professional school degree or higher.

Although there is very little difference among groups and the proportion of students that are first-generation, the proportion of students who had a least one parent graduate from university (or higher) is much higher among Group 3 (60%) students compared to Group 2 (52%) or Group 1 (42%) students; however, this difference falls just below the criteria for statistical significance.

Table 10: Parents' highest level of education							
	All		Group		University of		
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg		
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)		
High school or less	13%	16%	14%	10%	15%		
Some college or university	11%	14%	11%	10%	12%		
College, CEGEP, or technical school	20%	25%	21%	18%	21%		
graduate							
University or professional school graduate	35%	30%	35%	38%	35%		
Graduate degree	18%	12%	17%	22%	13%		
Other	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%			
Note: "Don't know" responses are not shown in the	table but are in	cluded in the	calculations.				

Difference by visible minority. Although it falls just below the threshold for statistical significance, visible minority students (17%) are more likely than non-minority (11%) students to be first-generation university students.

Difference by age. The older a student is, the greater probability the student is a first-generation student. Just 8% of those 20 and younger are first-generation students, and this proportion increases across age groups up to 31% of those 30 and older.



3.0 Academic history

3.1 Academic profile

The academic profile in Table 11 shows the following:

- ▶ About 82% of students are studying full time.
- ▶ About 59% of students began at their current university in 2011 or later; however, 48% began their post-secondary studies in that time period, which indicates that many students started their education at another institution before graduating from their current university.
- ▶ About 1 in 5 (19%) students say they have transferred to their university from another post-secondary institution, with a similar proportion saying they interrupted their studies for one or more terms (22%). The most common reasons students report for interrupting their studies are for employment (7%), financial reasons (5%), or illness (4%). Group 1 (26%) students are more likely to have transferred from another institution than students from Group 2 (19%) or Group 3 (16%) universities, although the differences are not statistically significant.
- ▶ Most students report an average grade of B- or higher, with 34% reporting an average grade of A- or higher in university. Very few (less than 1%) report an average grade of D or F. There is very little difference in the grades of students who are studying full or part time in their last year of studies, as 35% of those who are studying full time report a grade of A- or higher compared to 30% of those who are studying part time.



Table 11: Academic profile							
	All		Group		University of		
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg		
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)		
Course load							
Full-time	82%	87%	81%	80%	70%		
Part-time	18%	13%	19%	20%	30%		
Year began post-secondary studies							
2013 or later	1%	2%	2%	<1%	2%		
2012	8%	6%	4%	13%	14%		
2011	39%	37%	41%	38%	24%		
2010	29%	29%	35%	21%	29%		
2009	11%	12%	10%	12%	15%		
2008 or earlier	12%	13%	9%	15%	17%		
Year began studies at this university							
2013 or later	5%	7%	6%	4%	8%		
2012	14%	11%	8%	21%	16%		
2011	40%	37%	40%	43%	23%		
2010	25%	25%	32%	18%	27%		
2009	8%	11%	8%	6%	12%		
2008 or earlier	8%	9%	7%	7%	14%		
Transferred from other institution							
Yes	19%	26%	19%	16%	21%		
Interrupted studies for one or more te	rms						
Yes	22%	25%	21%	21%	29%		
Reported university grade							
A-, A, or A+	34%	35%	32%	35%	35%		
B-, B, or B+	54%	54%	56%	52%	51%		
C-, C, or C+	12%	10%	11%	13%	14%		
D	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%		
F	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%			

Differences by age. There are several statistically significant differences by students' age, as shown below.

- ▶ The older a student is, the more likely they are to be attending university part time. The proportion of full-time students decreases from 92% of those 20 and younger attending full time to just 58% of those 30 and older.
- ▶ Older students are also more likely to have transferred from another institution (rising from 5% of those 20 and younger to 35% of those 30 and older) and interrupted their studies (rising from 3% of those 20 and younger to 55% of those 30 and older).
- ► Grades are related to age, but the pattern is not linear. The proportion of students reporting an average grade of A- or higher drops from 42% of those 20 and under down to 26% of those 23 to 24 before increasing back to 44% of those 30 and older.

Difference by parental education. First-generation students tend to have similar academic grades as those whose parent(s) had at least some post-secondary education, as 29% of first-generation students report an average grade of A- or higher compared to 35% of students who are not first generation.



3.2 Work and learning program experience

More than half (55%) of graduating students say they have been involved in some type of work and learning program experience. Although the total proportions are similar across group, the percentage within various types differs, likely due to differences in the language used to define these experiences at each university.

Students in a work and learning program have much higher grades than those who did not participate in a program. About 39% of those who participated in such a program report grades of A- or higher compared to 28% of those who did not participate in a program.

Table 12: Work and learning program experience										
	All		Group		University of					
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg					
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)					
Any experience	55%	52%	59%	51%	49%					
- Practicum	16%	26%	16%	12%	37%					
- Work experience	16%	12%	16%	18%	8%					
- Service learning	15%	14%	16%	15%	18%					
- Co-op	14%	7%	20%	12%	3%					
- Internship (unpaid)	9%	6%	9%	11%	3%					
- Internship (paid)	7%	6%	6%	8%	4%					
Note: Respondents could provide m	ore than one ar	nswer. Therefo	ore, columns v	vill not sum to	100%.					

3.3 Delays in completion of program

Overall, slightly more than 1 in 3 students (36%) say that they experienced some type of delay in completing their program at their university. Most commonly it was because a *required course* was not available (18%), although 7% also mention elective courses not being available. Of interest, 12% of students mention that their grades delayed the completion of their courses on time, yet less than 1% of students reported an average grade of D or lower.

	All		Group		University of
	students (n=18,144)	1 (n=5,612)	2 (n=7,916)	3 (n=4,616)	Winnipeg (n=638)
Any delay	36%	38%	35%	37%	48%
- Required courses not available	18%	19%	17%	17%	28%
- Grades	12%	9%	12%	13%	7%
- Financial issues	8%	10%	8%	8%	12%
- Elective courses not available	7%	8%	7%	8%	10%
- Other	10%	10%	8%	11%	12%



4.0 Student activities

4.1 Involvement in campus activities

In the past year, students involvement in campus activities (often or very often) ranged from 1 in 5 who participated in student clubs (11% very often) or on-campus student recreational and sports programs (8% very often) to fewer than 1 in 10 attended home games of university athletic teams (3% very often), participated in student government (3% very often), or attended campus cultural events (2% very often).

Table 14: Involvement in campus activities since last September (% often or very often)									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Participated in student clubs	22%	19%	21%	23%	14%				
Participated in on-campus student	18%	14%	20%	18%	5%				
recreational and sports programs									
Attended campus social events	14%	13%	14%	16%	6%				
Attended public lectures and guest	10%	11%	9%	10%	11%				
speakers on campus									
Attended home games of university	8%	9%	9%	6%	4%				
athletic teams									
Participated in student government	7%	4%	5%	11%	4%				
Attended campus cultural events	7%	7%	6%	8%	5%				

Difference by age. The older a student is, the less likely he or she is to participate in *student clubs* often or very often. The proportion of students who participated in *student clubs* often or very often drops from 31% of those 20 and younger to 7% of those 30 and older.



4.2 Involvement in community service and volunteer activities

Overall, about half of graduating students (51%) volunteered at least occasionally on or off campus since September 2014, including 25% of students who participate often or very often in *community service or volunteer activities*.

Table 15: Involvement in community service/volunteer activities since last September (% often or very often)								
	All		Group		University of			
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg			
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)			
Participated in on/off-campus	25%	24%	25%	26%	21%			
community service/volunteer activities								
Participated in off-campus community	20%	19%	19%	20%	18%			
service/volunteer activities								
Participated in on-campus community	13%	12%	14%	13%	6%			
service/volunteer activities								

Among those who participate in volunteer activities, the typical student spends about five hours a week volunteering; however, just 11% of students overall spend more than five hours per week volunteering.

Table 16: Hours engaged in community service or volunteer activities per week									
	All		University of						
	students (n=18,144)	1 (n=5,612)	2 (n=7,916)	3 (n=4,616)	Winnipeg (n=638)				
None	49%	51%	50%	48%	55%				
1 or 2	22%	23%	21%	22%	18%				
3 to 5	17%	16%	17%	18%	18%				
6 or more	11%	11%	12%	11%	8%				
Average hours (all respondents)	2.4	2.2	2.5	2.4	2.0				
Average hours (those who participate)	4.7	4.5	4.9	4.7	4.4				

Difference by age. The older a student is, the less likely that he or she is to spend any time engaging in any hours for volunteering. About 59% of those 20 and under spend at least some time per week volunteering; however, the proportion steadily drops to 32% of those 30 and older.



4.3 Study patterns

On average, students spend about 32 hours a week on their academic work, almost evenly split between time spent in class (15 hours per week, on average) and out of class (18 hours per week, on average).

Table 17: Study patterns									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Hours spent per week in scheduled classes and labs									
10 or fewer	30%	34%	31%	27%	43%				
11 to 15	39%	38%	38%	40%	28%				
16 to 20	18%	14%	18%	19%	17%				
21 to 30	10%	10%	10%	9%	8%				
More than 30	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%				
Average number of hours	14.5	14.1	14.4	14.9	13.1				
Hours spent per week on academic we	Hours spent per week on academic work outside of classes and labs								
10 or fewer	39%	43%	38%	37%	52%				
11 to 15	17%	16%	16%	19%	17%				
16 to 20	17%	16%	17%	16%	13%				
21 to 30	16%	15%	16%	17%	13%				
More than 30	12%	10%	13%	11%	6%				
Average number of hours	17.9	16.6	18.4	18.0	14.1				
Total hours spent per week on acader	nic work in a	nd out of cla	ass						
15 or fewer	14%	16%	14%	12%	22%				
16 to 20	11%	12%	11%	10%	15%				
21 to 30	28%	29%	27%	28%	32%				
31 to 40	22%	20%	21%	24%	14%				
More than 40	25%	22%	26%	25%	17%				
Average number of hours	32.4	30.6	32.8	32.9	27.3				

Difference by university grades. On average, the lower a students' university grade, the less time they spend on academic activities overall. Specifically, those with an A- or higher average spend 35.5 hours per week on academic activities (in and out of class) compared to 31.1 hours for those with a B-, B, or B+ average and 29.2 hours for those with a C+ or lower average. This difference is largely due to a difference in time spent on academic activities outside of class, as time spent decreases as grade decreases — from 20.8 hours for those with an A- or higher average down to 14.9 hours for those with a C+ or lower average.

Difference by age. Likely due to the fact that older students are more likely to be studying part time, results show that the older a student is, the less time they spend in classes and labs, dropping from 15.9 hours per week for those 20 and younger to 11.2 hours for those 30 and older. However, the time spent outside of class on academic work is virtually the same across age groups, indicating that older students are spending a greater ratio of time outside of class to in class than younger students.



17

5.0 **Current employment**

Almost 6 in 10 (59%) graduating students are currently employed, with about 15% being employed on campus (10% exclusively on campus and 5% employed both on and off campus). Although not statistically significant, Group 1 (68%) students are more likely to be employed than Group 2 (56%) and Group 3 (57%) students.

Among those who are currently employed, results show the following:

- ▶ The typical student works about 18 hours a week, although 7 in 10 report working 20 or fewer hours per week.
- ▶ Students are more likely to say their employment has a negative (42%) impact than positive (22%) impact on their academic performance, although 37% say it has no impact.

	All	Group			University of
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)
Currently employed					
Yes, both on and off campus	5%	6%	4%	4%	8%
Yes, on campus	10%	9%	10%	11%	6%
Yes, off campus	44%	53%	41%	42%	64%
No, but seeking work	14%	12%	15%	15%	9%
No, not seeking work	27%	20%	29%	28%	129
Number of hours worked per week	*				
10 or less	32%	31%	33%	33%	26%
11 to 20	39%	36%	39%	41%	41%
21 to 30	16%	18%	15%	16%	19%
Over 30	12%	14%	13%	10%	13%
Average	18.0	18.7	18.1	17.3	19.
Impact of employment on academi	c performance*				
Very positive	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%
Somewhat positive	15%	14%	15%	15%	13%
No impact	37%	35%	36%	39%	35%
Somewhat negative	39%	41%	39%	37%	43%
Very negative	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%

employment has an impact on their academic performance.



18

Difference by university grades. Although the proportion of students who are employed is virtually identical by university grades, the average time students work per week increases as their grades decrease, from 15.9 hours per week for those with an average grade of A- or higher to 21.0 hours per week for those with an average grade of C or lower. Not surprisingly, those with a higher grade are less likely to say their work has a negative impact on their academics, dropping from 54% of those with a C or lower to 33% of those with an A- or higher.

Difference by age. Older students are more likely to be employed and work more hours per week than younger students. The proportion of students who are employed ranges from 55% of those 20 and younger to 66% of those 30 and older. In addition, the average hours worked increases from 14.3 hours per week for those 20 and younger up to 27.4 hours for those 30 and older. This work appears to have a negative impact for older students, as the proportion who say work had a somewhat or very negative impact on their academic performance increases from 33% of those 20 and younger to 50% of those 30 and older (although the difference is not statistically significant).

Average hours worked by impact on education. Those who report their work has a negative impact, report working more hours than those who say work has a positive or no impact. For those who say their work has a positive impact, students report working about 17 hours per week. This compares to about 20 hours per work for those who say it has a somewhat negative impact and 25 hours for those who say it has a very negative impact.



6.0 Educational experiences

6.1 Perceptions of professors

Students rated their level of agreement with a series of statements about their professors. Most students report having had positive experiences with university faculty. At the high end, more than 9 in 10 graduating students agree with the following:

- ► Most of my professors seem knowledgeable in their fields (43% strongly agree)
- ► Most of my professors treat students the same regardless of race (59% strongly agree)
- ▶ *Most of my professors treat students the same regardless of gender* (58% strongly agree)
- ► Most of my professors are reasonably accessible outside of class (23% strongly agree)
- ► Most of my professors encourage students to participate in class discussions (31% strongly agree)

At the lower end, about 7 in 10 graduating students agree with the following:

- ► Most of my professors provide useful feedback on my academic work (13% strongly agree)
- ► Most of my professors take a personal interest in my academic progress (14% strongly agree)
- ► Most of my professors provide prompt feedback on my academic work (11% strongly agree)

Generally, students indicate similar experiences with professors across groups, with the exception of the three lowest rate aspects. For these three, Group 1 students are more likely to agree with each statement than Group 2 or Group 3 students.

Table 19: Perception of professors (% agree or strongly agree)									
	All			University of					
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Seem knowledgeable in their fields	97%	97%	97%	97%	95%				
Treat students the same regardless of race*	95%	96%	95%	95%	95%				
Treat students the same regardless of gender*	95%	95%	94%	95%	93%				
Are reasonably accessible outside of class	93%	96%	93%	93%	95%				
Encourage students to participate in class	91%	95%	90%	90%	93%				
discussions									
Are fair in their grading	88%	92%	88%	86%	92%				
Are well-organized in their teaching	85%	89%	84%	84%	87%				
Communicate well in their teaching	85%	91%	84%	83%	89%				
Are consistent in their grading	84%	88%	83%	84%	89%				
Look out for students' interests*	84%	89%	83%	82%	86%				
Treat students as individuals, not just numbers	84%	92%	84%	78%	89%				
Are intellectually stimulating in their teaching	79%	86%	78%	75%	82%				
Provide useful feedback on academic work	72%	83%	73%	64%	77%				
Take a personal interest in academic progress	69%	81%	70%	60%	75%				
Provide prompt feedback on academic work	67%	78%	70%	56%	72%				

^{*} These questions included an option for students to say "No basis for opinion" and those responses have been removed from the calculations.



6.2 Ability to evaluate teaching

Overall, almost all students say they were given the chance to evaluate the quality of teaching in their courses, including 71% who say they were able to evaluate the teaching in all their courses.

Although it falls below the threshold for statistical significance, Group 3 (76%) students were more likely than Group 2 (70%) and Group 1 (65%) students to indicate that they were able to evaluate the teaching in all their courses.

Table 20: Ability to evaluate teaching							
	All		Group Univ				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg		
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)		
All courses	71%	65%	70%	76%	81%		
Most courses	21%	23%	22%	19%	15%		
Some courses	7%	11%	7%	4%	3%		
No courses	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%		

6.3 Satisfaction with quality of teaching

Among graduating students, almost 9 in 10 agree that they are *generally satisfied with the quality of teaching they received*, including 21% who strongly agree. Although not statistically significant, Group 1 (29%) students are more likely than Group 2 or Group 3 (19% each) to strongly agree with this statement.

Table 21: Satisfaction with statement "Generally, I am satisfied with the quality of teaching I have received"								
	All	University of						
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg			
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)			
Strongly agree	21%	29%	19%	19%	24%			
Agree	65%	62%	66%	66%	64%			
Disagree	10%	5%	11%	12%	7%			
Strongly disagree	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%			

Difference by university grades. As students' university grades decrease, they are less likely to strongly agree that generally, they are satisfied with the quality of teaching they received. About 27% of those with an average university grade of A- or higher strongly agree compared with 19% of those with a B-, B, or B+ average and just 13% of those with a C+ or lower average. Although informative, the difference is just below the threshold for statistical significance.



6.4 Perceptions of staff

About 8 in 10 graduating students agree that *most university support staff are helpful* (24% strongly agree) and 7 in 10 agree that *most teaching assistants in my academic program are helpful* (16% strongly agree).

Table 22: Perception of staff (% agree or strongly agree)								
	All		University of					
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg			
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)			
Most university support staff are	82%	86%	84%	76%	81%			
helpful*								
Most teaching assistants are helpful*	73%	81%	73%	70%	77%			

^{*} These questions included an option for students to say "No basis for opinion" and those responses have been removed from the calculations.



7.0 University's contribution to students' growth and development

7.1 Contribution to communication skills

Among the four communication skills rated, universities contributed most to students' growth and development writing clearly and correctly (27% very much) and speaking to small groups (26% very much). They contributed least to second or third language skills (8% very much).

Table 23: Contribution to communication skills (% much or very much)							
	All		University of Winnipeg				
	students	1					
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)		
Writing clearly and correctly	63%	73%	64%	57%	70%		
Speaking to small groups	63%	72%	63%	57%	66%		
Speaking to a class or audience	56%	68%	56%	50%	64%		
Second or third language skills	20%	18%	17%	25%	17%		

7.2 Contribution to analytical and learning skills

Among the eight analytical and learning skills students assessed their university's contribution, students indicated that universities contributed most to *ability to find and use information* (32% very much) and *thinking logically and analytically* (30% very much).

Students rated their university as contributing least (among the analytical and learning skills) to *mathematical skills* (11% very much).

Table 24: Contribution to analytical and learning skills (% much or very much)							
	All	Group			University of		
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg		
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)		
Ability to find and use information	75%	79%	75%	73%	72%		
Thinking logically and analytically	73%	77%	74%	69%	68%		
Understanding abstract concepts	63%	67%	63%	60%	61%		
Listening to others to absorb information	61%	65%	60%	59%	59%		
accurately							
Reading to absorb information accurately	61%	65%	60%	59%	61%		
Thinking creatively to find ways to achieve	60%	67%	62%	53%	58%		
an objective							
Effective study and learning skills	57%	62%	57%	55%	53%		
Mathematical skills	32%	31%	33%	31%	23%		

Difference by gender. Although it falls just below the threshold for statistical significance, male (40%) students are more likely than female (26%) students to say that their university contributed much or very much to their *mathematical skills*.



7.3 Contribution to working skills

Students' perceptions of their university's contribution to their working skills varied greatly, depending on the skill. For instance, about 3 in 4 say their university contributed much or very much to *working independently* (36% very much), while just 2 in 10 say their university contributed much or very much to *entrepreneurial skills* (7% very much).

Table 25: Contribution to work skills (% much or very much)							
	All		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg		
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)		
Working independently	75%	75%	75%	74%	67%		
Cooperative interaction in groups	61%	68%	63%	55%	57%		
Skills for planning and completing projects	59%	65%	59%	55%	55%		
Skills and knowledge for employment	43%	50%	45%	37%	39%		
Computer literacy skills	42%	45%	45%	37%	30%		
Knowledge of career options	38%	44%	40%	32%	34%		
Entrepreneurial skills	22%	26%	23%	19%	17%		

7.4 Contribution to life skills

The ten skills (deemed "life skills") shown in Table 26, vary in terms of students' perceptions of the contribution their university made to each. At the upper end, at least 6 in 10 students say their university contributed much or very much to several skills, including *ability to interact with people from backgrounds different than their own* (27% very much), *dealing successfully with obstacles to achieve an objective* (20% very much), *time management skills* (24% very much), and *persistence with difficult tasks* (21% very much).

On the lower end, fewer than 2 in 10 say their university contributed much or very much to their *spirituality* (6% very much).

Table 26: Contribution to life skills (% much	· · · · · · ·	-, '					
	All		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg		
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)		
Ability to interact with people from	64%	68%	66%	60%	62%		
backgrounds different from your own							
Dealing successfully with obstacles to	63%	67%	64%	59%	56%		
achieve an objective							
Time management skills	61%	65%	62%	57%	52%		
Persistence with difficult tasks	60%	64%	61%	57%	52%		
Ability to evaluate your own strengths and	58%	66%	59%	53%	54%		
weaknesses							
Self-confidence	52%	60%	53%	45%	52%		
Moral and ethical judgment	51%	60%	51%	47%	51%		
Ability to lead a group to achieve an objective	50%	58%	52%	43%	42%		
Appreciation of the arts	32%	38%	32%	29%	38%		
Spirituality	17%	23%	17%	14%	18%		




7.5 **Most important areas**

Among the 29 areas students rated their university's contribution, students were asked to rank the top three most important areas their university should be contributing. Table 27 shows the percentage of students who ranked each in their top three choices (among those selected by at least 10% of students).

Results indicate that thinking logically and analytically (46%) is ranked in the top three by almost half of students, more than doubling the next most ranked area (skills and knowledge for employment and time management skills at 21% each). In fact, it was selected first by 29% of students, whereas the next highest area was selected by 10% of students.

	All	Group			University of
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)
Thinking logically and analytically	46%	46%	46%	45%	43%
Skills and knowledge for employment	21%	21%	21%	21%	21%
Time management skills	21%	21%	22%	20%	18%
Dealing successfully with obstacles to	20%	20%	20%	21%	18%
achieve an objective					
Self-confidence	19%	20%	19%	19%	17%
Thinking creatively to find ways to	16%	15%	16%	17%	17%
achieve an objective					
Ability to find and use information	15%	15%	15%	14%	15%
Writing clearly and correctly	15%	15%	14%	14%	17%
Moral and ethical judgment	11%	14%	10%	11%	16%
Cooperative interaction in groups	10%	10%	11%	9%	10%
Effective study and learning skills	10%	10%	9%	10%	9%





8.0 Evaluation of student experiences

8.1 Satisfaction with university experiences

Students rated their satisfaction with several aspects of their university experience. Students were most satisfied with their *personal safety on campus* (32% very satisfied) as more than 9 in 10 said they were satisfied. Meanwhile, students were least satisfied with *the availability of information about career options in their study area* (11% very satisfied).

Table 28: Satisfaction with university experiences (% satisfied or very satisfied)							
	All		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg		
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)		
Personal safety on campus	92%	93%	91%	93%	89%		
Your university commitment to environmental	81%	86%	79%	80%	95%		
sustainability							
Opportunities to develop lasting friendships	80%	83%	80%	79%	75%		
Opportunities to become involved in campus life	77%	78%	77%	77%	73%		
Opportunities to enhance your education through	70%	74%	70%	68%	70%		
activities beyond the classroom							
(e.g., undergraduate research, service-learning,							
students exchange)							
Opportunities to participate in international study	68%	69%	69%	67%	65%		
or student exchanges							
Availability of information about career options in	58%	61%	60%	55%	56%		
your area of study							

8.2 Meeting students' expectations

Overall, the majority (59%) of graduating students say that their experiences *met their expectations*, while 23% say their university experiences *exceeded their expectations*. Few (18%) say their experiences *fell short*. Group 1 (33%) students are more likely than Group 2 (24%) and Group 3 (17%) students to say their university *exceeded their expectations*, and less likely to say their university *fell short of their expectations*.

Table 29: Meeting expectations						
	All		University of			
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg	
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)	
Exceeded	23%	33%	24%	17%	21%	
Met	59%	55%	59%	61%	67%	
Fell short	18%	12%	17%	22%	12%	



8.3 Satisfaction with concern shown by student as an individual

Almost 6 in 10 graduating students say they are satisfied with the *concern shown by the university for them as an individual*, although just 10% are very satisfied. Among the approximately 4 in 10 who are dissatisfied, 13% are dissatisfied.

Perhaps because Group 1 institutions tend to have smaller student populations, students are more likely to be satisfied with the *concern shown by the university for them as an individual* than those at Group 2 or Group 3 institutions.

Table 30: Satisfaction with concern shown by the university for student as an individual								
	All		Group U					
	students	students 1 2 3						
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)			
Very satisfied	10%	16%	9%	6%	10%			
Satisfied	48%	55%	49%	44%	53%			
Dissatisfied	29%	22%	29%	33%	27%			
Very dissatisfied	13%	7%	13%	17%	10%			

8.4 Satisfaction with decision to attend this university

Almost 9 in 10 graduating students are satisfied with *their decision to attend their university*, including 34% who are very satisfied. Among the 1 in 10 who are dissatisfied, just 3% are very dissatisfied.

Table 31: Satisfaction with decision to attend this university									
	All		Group						
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Very satisfied	34%	40%	33%	31%	35%				
Satisfied	55%	53%	55%	56%	57%				
Dissatisfied	8%	6%	8%	10%	7%				
Very dissatisfied	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%				



8.5 Overall quality of education at this university

Overall, more than 8 in 10 say they are satisfied with the *overall quality of education at their university*, including 23% who are very satisfied.

Table 32: Overall quality of education at this university								
	All		Group		University of			
	students	1 (7. 5.040)	2	3	Winnipeg			
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)			
Very satisfied	23%	30%	22%	20%	22%			
Satisfied	63%	61%	63%	63%	67%			
Dissatisfied	12%	7%	12%	15%	8%			
Very dissatisfied	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%			

8.6 Value for money

Although students are satisfied with the overall quality of their education, for many the value for the cost of their education may be an issue, as about 2 in 3 agree that they *received good value for their money at their university*. This includes 15% who strongly agree. Conversely, about 1 in 3 disagree, including 9% who strongly disagree.

Table 33: Received good value for money at this university									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Strongly agree	15%	18%	12%	17%	17%				
Agree	53%	56%	51%	52%	58%				
Disagree	24%	20%	27%	23%	20%				
Strongly disagree	9%	7%	10%	8%	5%				

8.7 Feel as if they belong at this university

About 8 in 10 agree that they *feel as if they belong at this university*, including 24% who strongly agree. Among the 2 in 10 who disagree, just 6% strongly disagree.

Table 34: Feel as if student belongs at this university									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Strongly agree	24%	28%	23%	21%	21%				
Agree	54%	55%	55%	53%	61%				
Disagree	17%	13%	17%	19%	14%				
Strongly disagree	6%	4%	6%	7%	4%				



8.8 Recommend university to others

Overall, 88% of graduating students would recommend their university to others.

Table 35: Recommend university to others								
	All		University of					
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg			
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)			
Would recommend	88%	92%	87%	87%	93%			
Would not recommend	12%	8%	13%	13%	7%			



9.0 Educational goals

9.1 Change majors or programs since beginning studies

Since beginning their post-secondary studies, 37% of graduating students have changed their major or program of studies at some point.

Table 36: Changed major or program of study since beginning post-secondary studies								
	All		University of					
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg			
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)			
Yes	37%	37%	34%	39%	39%			
No	63%	63%	66%	61%	61%			

9.2 Post-graduation plans

Graduating students are more likely to be applying to graduate school (38%) than a professional program (23%). Of interest, the proportion applying professional schools is similar among groups, yet the proportion applying to graduate school is higher among large Group 3 institutions (42%) than smaller Group 1 institutions (33%), perhaps due to the fact that many Group 1 institutions do not have graduate programs (or as many options for graduate programs). Although interesting, the difference is not statistically significant.

Table 37: Post-graduation plans										
	All		Group		University of					
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg					
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)					
Apply to professional program										
Yes	22%	23%	21%	24%	24%					
No	55%	52%	57%	53%	53%					
Unsure	23%	25%	22%	23%	23%					
Apply to graduate school										
Yes	38%	33%	36%	42%	28%					
No	30%	32%	32%	27%	38%					
Unsure	32%	35%	32%	31%	34%					

Difference by university grades. As students' grades increase, they are more likely to say expect to apply to graduate school, up from 21% of those with a C+ or lower average to 49% of those with an A- or higher average.




9.3 Career planning

Overall, 37% of graduating students have a specific career in mind, while another 38% have several possible careers. About 7 in 10 students say they know their career options at least fairly well, with 25% saying they know their options very well.

Table 38: Career plans									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Description of career plans									
I have a specific career in mind	37%	42%	37%	33%	47%				
I have several possible careers in mind	38%	36%	38%	39%	34%				
I have some general ideas but I need to clarify them	24%	21%	24%	26%	17%				
I am not thinking about a career at this stage of my	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%				
studies									
How well students know career options									
Very well	25%	30%	26%	21%	29%				
Fairly well	45%	44%	46%	44%	44%				
Only a little	26%	23%	24%	30%	24%				
Not at all	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%				

The figure below shows that those who have a specific career or several possible careers in mind are more likely than those with only a general idea or not thinking about their career to say they know their options very well.



Difference by participation in work and study program. Of those who participated in a work or study program (31%) they are about twice as likely as those who did not participate in a program (18%) to say they know their career options very well.



9.4 Steps taken for employment post-graduation

Almost all graduating students have taken at least one step to prepare for employment or a career after graduation, most often these steps are informal, such as *talking with friends* (78%), *parents* (77%), or *professors* (51%) about career options. Among more concrete behaviours, about 3 in 4 have a resume or CV (76%), while about half have worked in their chosen field of employment (45%).

Table 39: Steps taken to prepare for employment or career after graduation									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Talked with friends about	78%	78%	78%	78%	76%				
employment/career									
Talked with parents/family about	77%	76%	77%	77%	74%				
employment/career									
Created resume/curriculum vitae	76%	70%	76%	80%	62%				
Talked with professors about	51%	58%	51%	48%	52%				
employment/career									
Worked in chosen field of employment	45%	43%	46%	45%	42%				
Volunteered in chosen field of	36%	38%	37%	35%	44%				
employment									
Attended an employment fair	35%	37%	35%	35%	36%				
Met with a career counsellor	22%	21%	21%	23%	11%				
Created an e-portfolio	18%	17%	18%	18%	11%				
I have a career mentor	9%	9%	8%	10%	8%				
None	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%				
Note: Respondents could provide more than	one answer. Th	erefore, colun	nns will not su	m to 100%.					

Difference by university grades. Students with higher grades are more likely to report that they talked with professors about employment/career, as the proportion increases from 33% of those with a C+ or lower grade to 62% of those with an A- or higher grade.

Differences by age. As might be expected, given that older students are more likely to be working in their final year, they are less likely to have talked with *parents/family* or *friends* about employment.



10.0 Satisfaction with facilities and services

10.1 General facilities and services

As Table 40 shows that some services are used by graduating students more often than others. For instance, the most commonly used resources are *electronic library resources* (78%) and *on-campus bookstores* (71%) and, while few report *university residences* (6%).

Given that Group 1 students tend to drive to campus more often than students in other groups, it might be expected that they are most likely to have used *parking facilities* (52% compared to 39% of Group 2 and 27% of Group 3 students).

Table 40: Use of general facilities and services									
_	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Library electronic resources	78%	81%	77%	77%	79%				
On-campus bookstores	71%	76%	69%	70%	78%				
Food services	58%	63%	61%	53%	59%				
On-campus library	55%	57%	52%	58%	58%				
Athletic facilities	42%	37%	46%	41%	31%				
Parking	38%	52%	39%	27%	27%				
Online campus bookstores	32%	33%	33%	32%	39%				
Campus medical services	26%	18%	27%	29%	9%				
Facilities for university-based social	16%	14%	16%	17%	9%				
activities									
Other recreational facilities	16%	17%	17%	14%	10%				
Facilities for student associations	16%	14%	14%	18%	14%				
Computing services help desk	14%	17%	14%	13%	8%				
University residences	6%	7%	6%	4%	3%				

Difference by age. The younger a student is, the more likely they are to use athletic facilities.



CUSC-CCREU 33

Among those who used the service, Table 41 shows very little difference in the satisfaction of general facilities and services, with the exception of *parking facilities*, which only 42% are satisfied with. The differentiator among these services is the proportion that are very satisfied with each service. When these proportions are examined, results show students are most satisfied with *library electronic resources* (39%), *campus medical services* (35%) *on-campus library* (35%), and *athletic facilities* (30%), and least satisfied with *food services* (11%) and *parking facilities* (6%).

Table 41: Satisfaction with general facilities and services (% satisfied or very satisfied)									
_	All	Group		University of					
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
Library electronic resources	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%				
On-campus library	95%	94%	95%	96%	94%				
Other recreational facilities	93%	94%	93%	93%	94%				
Facilities for university-based social activities	91%	93%	92%	90%	93%				
Computing services help desk	91%	91%	91%	91%	88%				
Online campus bookstores	91%	91%	91%	92%	92%				
Athletic facilities	89%	89%	90%	89%	87%				
Facilities for student associations	88%	89%	87%	88%	90%				
Campus medical services	88%	90%	91%	84%	95%				
On-campus bookstores	87%	87%	87%	86%	91%				
University residences	83%	84%	86%	78%	75%				
Food services	70%	67%	72%	68%	69%				
Parking	42%	43%	42%	41%	36%				
Note: Percentages are based on those who have use	ed the service.								

10.2 Academic services

With the exception of *academic advising* (of which 42% have used), between 5% and 9% provide a rating of academic services shown in Table 42.

Table 42: Use of academic services										
	All		Group		University of					
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg					
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)					
Academic advising	42%	50%	39%	41%	55%					
Co-op offices and supports	9%	5%	10%	9%	1%					
Tutoring	6%	5%	5%	6%	7%					
Writing skills	7%	9%	7%	7%	4%					
Study skills and learning supports	5%	5%	6%	4%	2%					



Among those who have used the academic service, students report very high levels of satisfaction, ranging from 80% to 90% who report being satisfied or very satisfied. Even when only very satisfied responses are examined, results are very similar, with proportions ranging from 26% to 30%.

Table 43: Satisfaction with academic services (% satisfied or very satisfied)									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
Writing skills	90%	93%	89%	88%	85%				
Study skills and learning supports	89%	90%	91%	86%	77%				
Tutoring	88%	90%	88%	87%	80%				
Co-op offices and supports	82%	82%	81%	84%	78%				
Academic advising	80%	82%	83%	75%	75%				
Note: Percentages are based on those who have us	ed the service.								

10.3 Special services

As the name implies, special services are those that tend to be created for specific groups of students, although often are accessible for all students. Use of these special services ranges from 30% for use of *financial aid* to 1% for use of *services for First Nations students*.

Table 44: Use of special services									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Financial aid	30%	30%	34%	26%	21%				
Employment services	16%	14%	18%	15%	5%				
Career counselling	15%	13%	16%	15%	4%				
Personal counselling	10%	9%	11%	10%	4%				
Advising for students who need financial aid	7%	9%	6%	6%	6%				
Services for international students	5%	4%	5%	6%	4%				
Services for students with disabilities	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%				
Services for First Nations students	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%				

Satisfaction with special services is very high, with at least 79% of those who used the service saying there are satisfied or very satisfied with it. However, there are some noticeable differences among the proportion reporting they are very satisfied, ranging from 46% for *services for students with disabilities* to 20% for *employment services*.

Table 45: Satisfaction with special services (% satisfied or very satisfied)								
	All	-	Group		University of			
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg			
Services for First Nations students	91%	91%	92%	88%	100%			
Services for international students	90%	91%	88%	91%	92%			
Services for students with disabilities	87%	94%	86%	86%	96%			
Financial aid	83%	87%	84%	81%	86%			
Personal counselling	83%	87%	84%	78%	85%			
Employment services	81%	85%	81%	79%	79%			
Career counselling	80%	85%	82%	76%	83%			
Advising for students who need financial aid	79%	83%	80%	75%	85%			
Note: Percentages are based on those who have used	d the service.	•		•				



11.0 Financing post-secondary education

11.1 Credit cards

About 92% of graduating students report they have at least one credit card, including 31% who say they have two or more. Among those students who have credit cards, about 77% report they pay off their balance each month and, as such, their current credit card balance is zero; however, when asked what their current credit card balance is, just 33% say it is zero. Among those with an unpaid balance the average credit card debt students have is \$2,224.

Although differences in credit card use fall below the threshold for statistical significance, results indicate that Group 1 students are less likely than Group 2 or Group 3 students to regularly pay off their credit card debt and hold higher levels of credit card debt on average.

Table 46: Credit cards								
	All	Group			University of			
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg			
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)			
Number of credit cards								
None	8%	9%	7%	7%	11%			
One	62%	62%	60%	63%	57%			
Two	19%	18%	19%	18%	19%			
Three or more	12%	11%	13%	11%	14%			
Average number	3.7	3.9	3.1	4.3	7.3			
Regularly pay off balance each month*								
Yes	77%	71%	78%	81%	72%			
Total credit card balance*								
Zero	33%	29%	32%	36%	32%			
\$500 or less	41%	40%	42%	41%	39%			
\$501 to \$1,000	12%	12%	13%	10%	13%			
Over \$1,000	14%	18%	13%	13%	16%			
Average (all with credit card)	\$752	\$1,009	\$731	\$632	\$663			
Average (those with unpaid balance)	\$2,224	\$2,654	\$2,076	\$2,049	\$1,610			
* Total credit card balance and payment of the bal	ance were aske	ed of those wh	o had at least	one credit car	d.			

Difference by age. The older a student is, the more likely they are to have at two or more credit cards (increasing from 24% of those 20 and younger to 52% of those 30 and older). Younger students are also more likely to pay off their balance each month, with 89% of those 20 and younger saying they pay their last balance compared to 58% of those 30 and older. Among those with credit card debt, the average debt also increases with age from \$1002 (20 and younger) to \$5099 (30 and older).



11.2 Debt sources

We asked students to identify the repayable debt they have incurred from financing their university education, which was defined as money students had acquired to help finance their education that they owe and will have to pay back.

Half of students report owing money to at least one of the four sources tested, although some sources are more common than others. As Table 47 shows, 40% report debt from government student loans, which is about three times as much as those who report debt from financial institutions (15%) or parents or family (13%).

Table 47: Sources of debt									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Any debt	50%	53%	53%	44%	36%				
Government student loans	40%	42%	45%	35%	20%				
Loans from financial institutions	15%	16%	14%	15%	14%				
Loans from parents/family	13%	14%	14%	11%	11%				
Debt from other sources	5%	7%	5%	4%	6%				

Among all graduating students, the average education-related debt at the time of the survey is about \$13,331. When we consider only those who report having any debt, the average amount doubles to about \$26,819.

Looking at the debt among students who report debt from that source shows that not only do students rely on government student loans more often, they also account for the highest levels of debt at \$23,550. Loans from financial institutions, although used by just 15% of graduating students, account for \$14,729 in debt, indicating that those who do use these loans rely on them fairly heavily. Students also have a fair amount of debt from family and friends (\$10,165), but not to the same degree as government student loans or loans from financial institutions.

Among those with debt, Group 1 (\$29,367) students tend to carry more debt on average than Group 2 (\$27,484) and Group 3 (\$24,195) students. The driver of this difference appears to be government student loans, as the average debt from the other three sources is very similar across university groups.

Table 48: Average amount of repayable debt									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Average total debt									
All respondents	\$13,331	\$15,571	\$14,663	\$10,617	\$6,057				
Those with debt	\$26,819	\$29,367	\$27,484	\$24,195	\$16,801				
Average among those with these sourc	es								
Government student loans	\$23,550	\$27,332	\$24,036	\$20,312	\$15,912				
Loans from financial institutions	\$14,729	\$14,674	\$14,360	\$15,146	\$11,885				
Loans from parents/family	\$10,165	\$9,834	\$10,808	\$9,501	\$7,734				
Debt from other sources	\$5,816	\$6,206	\$5,781	\$5,504	\$5,086				



Although the average amount owed (among those with debt) was almost \$27,000, the distribution of total student debt tends to skew towards those with \$20,000 or more in debt. Indeed, among those reporting debt, students with \$20,000 or more in debt make up 58%, but they make up just 29% of all graduating students.

Table 49: Total debt										
	All		Group		University of					
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg					
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)					
No debt	50%	47%	47%	56%	64%					
Less than \$5,000	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%					
\$5,000 to \$9,999	5%	6%	5%	6%	9%					
\$10,000 to \$14,999	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%					
\$15,000 to \$19,999	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%					
\$20,000 or more	29%	33%	33%	23%	11%					

Differences by age. As students get older, the average debt level (among those reporting debt) increases steadily from \$22,505 for those 20 and younger to \$36,135 for those 30 and older. Also, as students get older, they are more likely to report acquiring debt from financial institutions (increasing from 6% of those 20 and younger to 25% of those 30 and older).

11.3 Sources of university funding

11.3.1 Use of sources

We asked students to indicate which of 11 different sources they are using to fund their current year of university education. The most common source is *parents*, *family or spouse* (60%), with many also using earnings from *current* (49%) or *summer* (44%) employment. The proportion who use *government loans or bursaries* (41%) are in line with the proportion that had debt from government student loans (40%), as shown in Table 50.

Table 50: Sources of financing								
	All		Group		University of			
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg			
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)			
Parents, family, or spouse	60%	55%	58%	65%	51%			
Earnings from current employment	49%	56%	47%	47%	62%			
Earnings from summer work	44%	50%	43%	43%	47%			
Government loan or bursary	41%	41%	45%	35%	20%			
University scholarship, financial award, or bursary	33%	40%	34%	29%	27%			
RESP	15%	13%	16%	14%	14%			
Loans from financial institution	12%	13%	11%	11%	11%			
Co-op program, internship, and other practical	11%	5%	15%	10%	2%			
experiences related to program								
Investment income	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%			
Other	4%	5%	4%	4%	6%			



I-CCREU 38

Difference by visible minority. Students who self-identify as a member of a visible minority (30%) are less likely than those who do not (52%) to indicate they rely on *earnings from summer work* to pay for the current year of university.

Difference by university grades. As might be expected, students with an average university grade of A- or higher (53%) are about two to three times more likely than those with an average grade of B-, B, or B+ (25%) or C+ or lower (16%) to say they have received *university scholarship*, *financial award*, *or bursary*.

Difference by age. The older a student is, the less likely they are to be financing their education through *parents*, *family and spouse* (decreasing from 76% of those 20 and younger to 33% of those 30 and older), *earnings from summer work* (decreasing from 51% of those 20 and younger to 17% of those 30 and older), and *RESPs* (decreasing from 21% of those 20 and younger to 1% of those 30 and older).

Most students do not just rely on one source to finance their education. In fact, the average number of sources reported is about three, with more than half relying on three or more sources.

Table 51: Number of sources of financing									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
One	23%	21%	21%	25%	27%				
Two	23%	23%	23%	25%	29%				
Three	26%	25%	26%	25%	24%				
Four or more	28%	32%	30%	25%	20%				
Average	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.4				

Difference by visible minority. On average, visible minority students require fewer sources to fund their current year than non-minority students. Visible minority students use 2.5 sources on average compared to 2.9 sources for non-minority students. Further evidence is that 30% of visible minority students report using only one source to fund their current year, versus 19% of non-visible minority students (although this difference is not statistically significant).



11.3.2 Average financing

Overall, students required \$15,798 of financing for their current year of university education. The largest single sources of financing, based on their average amount, include the following:

- ► Co-op program, internship, and other practical experiences related to program (\$9,838)
- ► Government loan or bursary (\$7,961)
- ► Loans from financial institutions (\$7,955)
- ► Earnings from current employment (\$7,420)
- ► Parents, family, or spouse (\$6,504)

Worth noting is that the average amount contributed by each source is not perfectly in line with the proportion who report using each source. For example, just 11% use *co-op program*, *internship*, *and other practical experiences related to program*, but it provides the highest average amount to those using that source. Conversely, the most used source was *parents*, *family*, *or spouse*, yet it contributed about the same as several other sources.

Although Group 1 students tended to take on more debt than Group 2 or Group 3 students, it does not appear that they require significantly more to finance their education, as the average amount is very similar across groups.

Table 52: Average amount from each financing source								
	All	Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg			
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)			
All respondents								
Overall	\$15,798	\$16,219	\$16,232	\$15,095	\$12,187			
Average among those with these sources (amon	g those using	g source)						
Co-op program, internship, and other practical	\$9,838	\$7,417	\$11,077	\$8,577	\$1,944			
experiences related to program								
Government loan or bursary	\$7,961	\$8,475	\$8,224	\$7,259	\$6,392			
Loans from financial institution	\$7,955	\$7,443	\$7,342	\$8,912	\$6,689			
Earnings from current employment	\$7,420	\$8,148	\$7,052	\$7,322	\$8,313			
Parents, family, or spouse	\$6,504	\$5,816	\$6,885	\$6,462	\$4,214			
Earnings from summer work	\$5,107	\$5,417	\$5,161	\$4,846	\$4,221			
RESP	\$4,164	\$4,242	\$4,082	\$4,220	\$3,615			
Investment income	\$3,370	\$2,994	\$2,619	\$4,335	\$2,922			
University scholarship, financial award, or bursary	\$2,567	\$2,405	\$2,367	\$2,940	\$1,671			
Other	\$8,479	\$10,518	\$9,604	\$6,003	\$8,320			

Difference by visible minority. Although non-minority students required more sources to fund their current year, the average amount required by non-minority (\$16,215) and visible minority (\$15,028) students is very similar.

Difference by age. As age increases, the average amount students require to finance their current year of education increases, from \$13,991 for those 20 and younger to \$24,603 for those 30 and older. This result is somewhat puzzling, given that older students are less likely to be studying full time, and therefore, would assume that it would require less financing to pay for courses and associated costs. However, it is likely that older students may have considered other expenses, such as living expenses, as what they pay to finance their education.



12.0 Post-graduation educational plans

12.1 Plans for additional schooling

Overall, 70% of graduating students expect to take some type of education within the next five years, most often pursuing *graduate schools* (53%).

Table 53: Future education plans within next five years									
	All		Group		University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg				
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)				
Take further education	70%	67%	68%	75%	66%				
- Graduate school	53%	47%	51%	59%	42%				
- A professional program (e.g., law)	28%	27%	25%	30%	25%				
- Further undergraduate studies	17%	20%	15%	18%	23%				
- Community college or CEGEP	8%	7%	11%	6%	6%				
- Technical/vocational school	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%				
- Other formal education	12%	14%	13%	11%	13%				
Note: Respondents could provide more than	one answer. T	herefore, colu	mns will not s	um to 100%.					

Difference by university grades. Getting better grades seems to be related to increased desire for further education, as 79% of those with an A- or higher average plan on taking further education compared to 68% of those with a B+, B, or B- average and 57% of those with a C+ or lower average.

Overall, 13% of students plan on pursuing graduate schooling at their current university, while 5% plan on pursuing further undergraduate studies or a professional program at their university.

Table 54: Plan to take further education at current university								
	All		Group	University of				
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg			
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)			
Graduate school	13%	7%	12%	16%	6%			
Further undergraduate studies	5%	6%	4%	7%	11%			
A professional program (e.g., law)	5%	3%	3%	8%	3%			
Note: Respondents could provide more th	an one answer.	Therefore, co	olumns will no	t sum to 100%).			




12.2 Impact of debt on future education

Debt plays a role in students' decisions about future education, as about 4 in 10 students say debt has some impact, either discouraging them from taking more education (23%), preventing them from taking more education (9%), or encouraging them because they need more education to repay their debt (8%). Although Group 1 and Group 2 students tended to report higher levels of debt than students attending a Group 3 university, it does not seem to have much impact on their decision to take further education after graduating, as results are very similar across groups.

Table 55: Impact of debt on future education in year after graduation							
	All		Group		University of		
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg		
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)		
I have no debt	39%	35%	35%	45%	50%		
It does not affect my decision	21%	21%	21%	21%	19%		
It discourages me from taking further education	23%	26%	26%	19%	21%		
It prevents me from taking further education	9%	11%	11%	7%	7%		
I need more education to earn enough to repay it	8%	7%	7%	8%	4%		

The figure below shows that students' perception of the impact of debt is highly correlated with their debt; students with the highest average debt levels are those who say *their debt prevents* them from taking further education, at over \$30,150 in average debt. Also, those who say they need to take more education to repay their debt have similar debt levels at about \$28,500. Thus, it appears that those with the highest debt levels either make a decision to work in order to start repaying their debt or try to take more education to increase their salary potential in order to repay their debt.





13.0 Employment plans

13.1 Profile of post-graduation employment

Overall, 31% of students have employment arranged for work after graduating. Among those with employment arranged, results show the following:

- ► About half say their job requires a degree, including 38% who say it required their specific degree.
- ▶ About 2 in 3 say their job is at least moderately related to the skills and knowledge they acquired, including 44% who say their job is strongly related.
- ▶ About 95% are working in Canada, 67% are working full time, 58% are permanent, 30% are working a new job, and 5% are self-employed.

Table 56: Post-graduation employment		1			
	All		Group		University of
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)
Have employment arranged					
Yes	31%	34%	31%	30%	39%
No, looking for work	57%	58%	59%	55%	48%
No, not looking for work	12%	8%	10%	16%	12%
Degree requirements*					
Requires specific degree	38%	34%	36%	43%	22%
Requires any degree	16%	12%	18%	16%	11%
Degrees help, but not required	21%	25%	21%	19%	34%
Does not require degree	25%	29%	25%	22%	34%
Relation to skills and knowledge learned*					
Strongly	44%	42%	41%	47%	33%
Moderately	20%	19%	22%	18%	21%
Slightly	15%	15%	15%	14%	17%
Not at all	21%	22%	20%	21%	28%
Not sure	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%
Employment status*	•	•	•	•	
Full-time	67%	66%	70%	66%	60%
Part-time	26%	26%	23%	29%	30%
Several part-time	7%	8%	7%	6%	10%
Self-employed*	•	•	•	•	
Yes	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%
Permanent or temporary*	•	•	•	•	
Permanent	58%	61%	57%	56%	56%
Temporary with end date	20%	17%	21%	21%	19%
Temporary without end date	23%	22%	22%	23%	25%
Continuation of previous employment*	<u> </u>		I.	Į.	
New job	30%	29%	29%	31%	26%
Continuation of job while in program	48%	46%	49%	48%	44%
Continuation of job before program	22%	25%	22%	21%	30%
Job in Canada*		1			
Yes	95%	97%	93%	95%	98%
* Out of those who have employment arranged		1			



Difference by university grades. As students university grades increase, they are more likely to say their current job is *strongly related to the knowledge and skills they learned in university*, up from 25% of those with a C+ or lower average to 51% of those with an A- or higher.

Differences by age. The older a student is the more likely they are to report their job was a continuation of a previous job (70% of those 20 and younger to 81% of those 30 and older) and is a permanent job (increasing from 44% of those 20 and younger to 82% 30 and older).

13.2 Earnings

The typical student expects to be making about \$6,941 per month after graduating (over \$83,000 annually). However, the average is likely skewed by some high reported incomes, as the median income is a more reasonable \$3,000 per month (or \$36,000 annually). The differences the average monthly incomes between groups is quite noticeable, yet again, are likely skewed by outliers, as the median incomes are all relatively similar.

Table 57: Monthly earnings						
	All		Group		University of	
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg	
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)	
Less than \$2,000	19%	19%	18%	20%	28%	
\$2,000 to \$2,999	14%	14%	14%	14%	16%	
\$3,000 to \$4,999	18%	19%	20%	16%	14%	
\$5,000 to \$7,499	8%	10%	9%	7%	4%	
\$7,500 or more	8%	6%	9%	9%	6%	
Average monthly earnings	\$6,941	\$5,271	\$6,807	\$8,227	\$4,434	
Median monthly earnings	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$2,700	\$2,026	
Out of those who have employment arranged	d					

Difference by age. As students get older, they report a higher monthly income. The average salary among those 20 and under is \$4,810 per month and increases to \$9,567 for those 30 and older. Outliers do not appear to be an issue with these groups, as the median income also increases across age groups from \$2,000 among those 20 and under to \$4,000 among those 30 and older.

13.3 Satisfaction with employment

Overall, over 8 in 10 graduating students who have employment arranged for after graduation are satisfied with the employment they have arranged, including 28% who are very satisfied.

Table 58: Satisfaction with post-graduation employment							
	All		Group		University of		
	students	1	2	3	Winnipeg		
	(n=18,144)	(n=5,612)	(n=7,916)	(n=4,616)	(n=638)		
Very satisfied	28%	28%	29%	29%	21%		
Satisfied	54%	54%	54%	53%	60%		
Dissatisfied	13%	15%	14%	11%	15%		
Very dissatisfied	5%	4%	3%	7%	4%		
Out of those who have employment arranged							



13.3.1 Factors related to satisfaction with employment

Table 59 shows that those who are very satisfied with their arranged employment are more likely to say their job is *strongly related to the skills and learned in university*, *full time*, *permanent*, or a *new job* than those who are less than very satisfied. Of interest, the relationship among satisfaction and these four factors is not linear — that is, the proportion among each group does not consistently decrease. The proportion who are very dissatisfied tend to report higher levels of their job being *strongly related to the skills and learned in university*, *full time*, *permanent*, or a *new job* than those who are dissatisfied or satisfied. Also, although those who are very satisfied report the highest average monthly salary, those who are very dissatisfied report similar or higher salaries than those who are satisfied or dissatisfied. This seems to indicate that students' dissatisfaction with their employment is related to something other than aspects measured on this survey.

Table 59: Factors related to satisfaction with employment (among those employed)								
	Strongly related to skills and knowledge Full-time job Permanent New job				Average monthly salary			
Overall	44%	67%	58%	30%	\$6,941			
Very satisfied	66%	84%	72%	38%	\$10,802			
Satisfied	40%	64%	53%	29%	\$5,717			
Dissatisfied	13%	47%	46%	16%	\$3,438			
Very dissatisfied	28%	62%	59%	26%	\$5,729			



Appendix A – 2015 CUSC-CCREU Survey



CUSC

CCREU





2015 Survey of Graduating Students

This survey is being completed by undergraduates in their last year of study at approximately 30 Canadian universities. We want to learn more about how university contributed to the development of our students and what our students think about their university experience.

If you cannot finish the survey in one sitting, you can close it and return to it using the link in the email we sent you. You will be returned to the page you were on when you closed.

All of your responses are confidential.

grad1	Do you expect to graduate in 2015?	
	☐ Yes, in the Spring	
	☐ Yes, in the Fall	
	☐ Expect to graduate after 2015	
	\square Do not expect to graduate	
Acaden	nic history	
hist1	In what year did you begin your postsecondary st	udies? Year:
hist2	In what year did you first enrol at <university nan<="" td=""><td>ne>? Year:</td></university>	ne>? Year:
hist3	Have you transferred to <university name=""> from Yes \Box No \Box</university>	another postsecondary institution?
hist4	Please choose the letter grade that best reflects y A □ (includes A+, A and A-) B □ (includes B+, B and B-) C □ (includes C+, C and C-) D □ F □	our overall average grade:
	Since starting your post-secondary education, one or more terms (not including inter-session that apply.	
hist5	No	
hist6	Yes, due to illness	
hist7	Yes, for financial reasons	
hist8	Yes, to have/raise children	
hist9	Yes, required to withdraw by the university	
hist10	Yes, for employment	
hist11	Yes, for other family reasons	
hist12	Yes, to travel	
hist13	Yes, for other reasons (please specify):	
hist13txt		



		articipate in any of the following? Please select
	all that apply.	
hist14	Со-ор	
hist15	Work experience	
hist16	Practicum	
hist17	Internship (unpaid)	
hist18	Internship (paid)	
hist19	Service learning (community service or volunteer activities recognized by the	
	university)	
hist20	None of the above	
	Did any of the following delay the completi	on of your program at <university name="">?</university>
	Please select all that apply.	
hist21	Required courses not available	
hist22	Elective courses not available	
hist23	Grades	
hist24	Financial issues	
hist25	Other (please specify):	
hist25txt		
hist26	None of the above	



Activi	ties						
Since l	ast September how often have you						
		Never	Occasionally	Often	Very often		
act1	attended campus social events						
act2	attended public lectures and guest speakers on campus						
act3	attended campus cultural events (theatre, concerts, art exhibits, etc.)	П	П	П	П		
act4	participated in student government						
act5	participated in student clubs						
act6	participated in on-campus student						
_	recreational and sports programs						
act7	attended home games of university athletic teams	П		П			
act8	participated in on-campus community	Ш		Ш			
	service/volunteer activities						
act9	participated in off-campus community service/volunteer activities						
[If act8 or act9 <> "Never" branch to act10, otherwise branch to act11] During an average week how many hours do you spend in community service/volunteer activities? Hours:							
.11	During an average week in the current term, about how many hours do you spend on the following academic activities?						
act11 act12	In scheduled classes, labs, seminars and Academic work outside of class time (h						
	readefine work outside of class time (1	iours per we	CK)				



Emplo	yment
work1	Excluding work related to a co-op program are you employed during the current academic term?
	Yes, off campus □
	Yes, on campus
	Yes, both off campus and on campus
	No, but I am looking for work □
	No, and I am not looking for work □
work2	[If work1= "Yes" branch to work2, otherwise branch to the Professors section.] On average, how many hours per week are you employed in this work?
work3	What impact has this employment had on your academic performance?
	Very negative □
	Somewhat negative □
	No impact \square
	Somewhat positive \square
	Very positive □



Profess	ors							
	Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with the following statements about your professors.							
	Most of my professors	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree			
prof1	are reasonably accessible outside of	П	П	П	П			
prof2	class take a personal interest in my	Ш		Ш				
prof3	academic progress treat students as individuals, not							
	just numbers							
prof4	encourage students to participate in class discussions							
prof5	are well organized in their teaching							
prof6 prof7	seem knowledgeable in their fields							
prof8	communicate well in their teaching are intellectually stimulating in		Ш	Ш	Ш			
	their teaching							
prof9	provide useful feedback on my academic work							
prof10	provide prompt feedback on my							
prof11	academic work are fair in their grading							
prof12	are consistent in their grading							
		Strongly			Strongly	No basis		
prof13	Most of my professors treat students the same regardless	disagree	Disagree	Agree	agree	for opinion		
	of gender							
prof14	treat students the same regardless of race							
prof15	look out for students' interests							
		Yes, all courses	Yes, most courses	Yes, some courses	No courses			
prof16	Were you given the chance to evaluate the quality of teaching in your courses?							
	ring all of your professors and courses following statement.	please indi	cate your le	vel of agree	ement or disa	greement		
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree			
prof17	Generally, I am satisfied with the quality of teaching I have received							



Sta	ff						
Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with the following statements.							
r ic	ase indicate your level of agreement of disag	greement w	iui uie iono	wing statem	ents.		
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No basis for opinion	
staff	wise teaching assistants in my						
staff	academic program are helpful Most university support staff (e.g.,		Ш				
	clerks, secretaries, etc.) are helpful						
Trow	th and development						
JIOW	in and development						
	nuch has your experience at <university nam<="" th=""><th>ne> contrib</th><th>uted to your</th><th>growth and</th><th>developme</th><th>nt in the</th></university>	ne> contrib	uted to your	growth and	developme	nt in the	
onow	ring areas?						
lvl1	Thinking to single, and analytically	Non	e Very li	_	e Much	Very much	
lv11	Thinking logically and analytically Mathematical skills					П	
lvl3	Dealing successfully with obstacles to						
	achieve an objective						
lvl4	Thinking creatively to find ways to achieve	e					
	an objective						
lvl5	Understanding abstract concepts						
lvl6	Speaking to small groups						
lvl7	Speaking to a class or audience						
lvl8	Writing clearly and correctly						
lvl9	Reading to absorb information accurately						
lvl10	Listening to others to absorb information		П				
lvl11	accurately Ability to find and use information						
lvl12	Second or third language skills						
lvl13	Skills for planning and completing projects						
lvl14	Effective study and learning skills	, <u> </u>					
lvl15	Working independently	П	П	П		П	
lvl16	Cooperative interaction in groups						
lvl17	Computer literacy skills						
lvl18	Persistence with difficult tasks						
lvl19	Entrepreneurial skills						
lv120	Skills and knowledge for employment						
lvl21	Ability to lead a group to achieve an						
. 100	objective						
lv122	Knowledge of career options						
lv123	Self-confidence						
lvl24	Ability to evaluate your own strengths and weaknesses	П		П	П	П	
lv125	Ability to interact with people from						
	backgrounds different from your own						



v127 S _I v128 Ti	ppreciation of the arts pirituality ime management skills foral and ethical judgment					
Regardless of how well you think your university did, which three do you think are the most important? dvl1st dvl2nd dvl3rd						
Expec	tations and experience					
exp18	Exceeded, met or fallen short of your expectations?	ed Met	Fallen short			
Overa	ll evaluation					
Please	indicate your level of satisfaction or dissatisfacti	ion with <un< td=""><td>iversity name></td><td>in the follow</td><td>ving areas.</td></un<>	iversity name>	in the follow	ving areas.	
eval1	opportunities to develop lasting friendships	Very dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Satisfied	Very satisfied	
eval2	availability of information about career options in your area of study	П				
eval3	concern shown by the university for you as an individual					
eval4 eval5	the overall quality of the education you have received at this university opportunities to enhance your education through activities beyond the classroom					
eval13	(e.g., undergraduate research, service- learning) Opportunities to participate in international					
eval6	study or student exchanges opportunities to become involved in campus					
	life					
eval7	personal safety on campus					
eval8	your university's commitment to environmental sustainability					
eval9	your decision to attend this university					
Please	indicate your level of satisfaction or dissatisfact	ion with the	following state	ments.		
eval10	I have received good value for money	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	
***	at this university					
eval11	I feel as if I belong at this university					



eval12	Would you recommend <university name=""> to others? Yes \square No \square</university>				
	[If eval12 eq "No" branch to eval12txt, otherwise branch to	Goal Deve	lopment s	ection.]	
	Why wouldn't you recommend <university name="">?</university>				
eval12txt					
Goal de	velopment				
goal2	Have you changed your major or program of study since you	hogan voi	ır nastsası	andan.	
gouiz		began you	ii postsect	onuary	
	studies? Yes □ No □				
	After you have completed your undergraduate studies do you	intend to:			
goal3	apply to a professional program (e.g., Medicine, Law, etc.)	Yes \square	No □	Unsure □	
goal4	apply to graduate school	Yes □	No □	Unsure \square	
8	apply to graduate sensor	i es 🗀	NO L	Olisure 🗀	
goal5	Which of the following best describes your career plans?				
	I have some general ideas but I need to clarify them				
	I have several possible careers in mind				
	I have a specific career in mind				
	I am not thinking about a career at this stage of my studies				
	,		_		
goal6	How well do you know the career options your program or int	tended pro	gram coul	d open for	
	you?	-		-	
	Very well				
	Fairly well				
	Only a little				
	Not at all				
	Please indicate what steps if any you have taken to prepare f	or employ	ment/you	r career after	
	graduation? Check all that apply.				
goal7	Talked with professors about employment/career				
goal8	Talked with parents/family about employment/career	[
goal9	Talked with friends about employment/career				
goal10	Created resume or curriculum vitae (CV)				
goal11	Created an e-portfolio (an inventory of skills, abilities and				
	experience maintained on the web)				
goal12	Attended an employment fair				
goal13	Met with a career counsellor				
goal14	Worked in my chosen field of employment				
goal15	Volunteered in my chosen field of employment	Г			

goal16

goal17

I have a career mentor

None of the above



Services

Please indicate which of the following services you have used since last September and how satisfied you are with the ones you have used.

				Satisfaction if service used				
		TT 1		Very	D' .' C' 1	G .: C: 1	Very	
		Used		dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Satisfied	Satisfied	
srv1	Services for First Nations students		srv1sat					
srv2	Services for international students		srv2sat					
srv3	Services for students with disabilities		srv3sat					
srv4	University libraries: physical books,	П	srv4sat	П	П	П	П	
_	magazines, stacks	_		_	_	_	_	
srv5	University libraries: electronic resources		srv5sat					
srv6	Employment services	П	srv6sat	П	П	П	П	
srv7	Career counselling		srv7sat		П			
srv8	Personal counselling		srv8sat	П	П		П	
srv9	Academic advising		srv9sat	П	П		П	
srv10	Tutoring		srv10sat					
srv11	Study skills and learning supports		srv11sat					
srv12	Writing skills		srv12sat					
srv13	University residences		srv13sat					
srv14	Advising for students who need		srv14sat					
	financial aid							
srv15	Financial aid		srv15sat					
srv16	Athletic facilities		srv16sat					
srv17	Other recreational facilities		srv17sat					
srv18	University bookstores: physical		srv18sat	П		П	П	
40	stores	_	40	_	_	_	_	
srv19	University bookstores: online		srv19sat					
srv20	inventory check, ordering, etc.		srv20sat					
srv21	Campus medical services		srv20sat srv21sat					
srv22	Co-op offices and supports	Ш	srv21sat srv22sat					
31 VZZ	Facilities for university-based social activities		31 72 23 61					
srv23	Facilities for student associations		srv23sat					
srv24	Computing services help desk		srv24sat					
srv25	Food services		srv25at					
srv26	Parking		srv26at					



Finances								
fin1 How many credit cards do you have? [If <> 0 branch to fin2, otherwise to Debt.] fin2 Do you usually pay off the whole balance every month? Yes □ No □ fin3 What is the most recent unpaid balance on all of your cards? \$								
Debt								
debt	Have you acquired repayable debt to finance your university education? Yes \Box No \Box							
	By repayable debt, we mean money you owe and have to pay back. We are interested in repayable debt that is directly helping to finance you university education, such as tuition, fees, books, but also might include basic living expenses that are incurred while attending university. [If debt = "Yes" branch to debt1, otherwise branch to the Income section.]							
Please 6	enter the approximate amount of debt from each	source, in Ca	nadian dollars.					
Repayab Repayab	Repayable debt from government student loans: Repayable debt from loans from financial institutions: Repayable debt from loans from parents/family: Repayable debt from other sources: debt1							
la a a sa c								
Income Thinking about the current academic year, please indicate which of the following sources of income you are using to help pay for your university education. For each source please provide the approximate amount in Canadian dollars you expect to receive for the current academic year.								
Governn	ment loan or bursary	inc1 □	inc1amt \$					
Universi	ty scholarship, financial award, or bursary	inc2 □	inc2amt \$					
	family, or spouse	inc3 □	inc3amt \$					
Loans fro	om financial institution(s)	inc4 □	inc4amt \$					
Co-op pi	rogram, internship, etc. related to your program	inc5 □	inc5amt \$					
Earnings	from current employment on campus	inc6 □	inc6amt \$					
Earnings	from current employment off campus	inc7 □	inc7amt \$					
_	s from summer work	inc8 □	inc8amt \$					
	ent income (bonds, dividends, interest, etc.)	inc9 □	inc9amt \$					
_	ed Education Savings Plan (RESP)	inc10 □	inc10amt \$					
Other (p	Other (please specify): inc11 inc11amt \$inctxt							



Post-graduation education plans

		ch to edplan7.]			
	edplan1-1 to edplan6-1	edplan1-2 to edplan6-2	edpl	lan1-3 to	edplan3-3
	1 st year after graduation	2 to 5 years after graduation	any c		
Please select all that apply: Further undergraduate study Graduate school A professional program (e.g., law) Community college/cegep			Yes	No □ □ □	Not sure
Technical/vocational school Other formal education					
[If Further undergraduate study selected what other university is your preference——————————————————————————————————	current univers	ity <> Yes]	iduate :		
[If <i>Professional program</i> selected ar What other university is your prefer				1?	
[If <i>Professional program</i> selected ar				1?	
[If <i>Professional program</i> selected ar	fed choice for a	professional p	an7, of	herwise	



Post-graduation employment emplan1 Do you have employment arranged for after you graduate later this year? Yes □ No, I am looking for work \square No, I am not looking for work \square [If emplan1 = "Yes" branch to emplan2, otherwise branch to Living Arrangements.] emplan2 Does your job require a degree? it requires my specific degree □ it requires a degree but isn't specific □ a degree is a help but is not required \square a degree doesn't matter □ emplan3 Is your job related to the knowledge and skills you learned in your studies at <university name>? Not at all Moderately Not sure Slightly Strongly emplan4 Is your employment a full time job □ a part time job □ several part time jobs \square emplan5 Will you have an employer \square or be self-employed \square ? emplan6 Is your job permanent, as far as you know \square temporary, with a definite end date \Box temporary, without a definite end date \square

continuation of a job you found while in your program \square

continuation of a job you had before you started your program \square

emplan7

Is your job

new to you \square



emplan8	What is the main way you found your job?	
	workplace experience in your program (co-op, internship, practicum, etc.)	
	other employer contact through your program	
	job fair or recruiters at your university	
	university career or employment centre	
	external career or employment centre/agency	
	a jobs web site (e.g., CareerBeacon.com, Workopolis.com, etc.)	
	professor(s)	
	family	
	friends	
	direct contact with the employer	
	other way (please specify:)	
emplan8txt		
emplan9	What are your anticipated gross monthly earnings from your job, before deduct taxes and other things? If you have several jobs arranged include the total for a Monthly gross earnings: \$ Unsure □	
emplan10	Is your job in Canada? Yes □ No □	
emplan11	[If emplan10 eq "Yes" branch to emplan11, otherwise branch to emplan12] In which province? [pull down list of provinces] [Branch to emplan12]	
emplan11txt	In which country:	
emplan12	How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your post-graduation employment? Very dissatisfied Dissatisfied Satisfied Very satisfied	



Living	arrangements					
live1	Where are you currently living?					
	In on-campus housing (university residence, dorm, etc.) With parents, guardians or relatives In rented off-campus housing shared with others In rented off-campus housing on your own In a home you own					
livetxt	Other (please specify):					
live2	[If live1 <> "In on-campus housing" branch to live2, otherwise branch to live3] Would you prefer to live in on-campus housing if you had the choice? Yes □ No □					
live3	What is your marital status? Single ☐ Married or common law ☐ In a relationship other than married or common law ☐					
live4 live5 live6 live7	Do you have children? Yes No I [If live4 eq "Yes" branch to live5, otherwise branch to Commuting section.] How many up to age 5? How many age 5 to 11? How many 12 or older?					
Comm	nuting					
comm1 At present, what method of transportation do you use most often to get to campus?						
	Vehicle (with others or in a car pool)]]]				
	Walk	_				
	-					
commt						
commt	Don't attend the campus					



Disability						
Do you have any of the following disabilities? Select all that apply.						
		Do you require	Is the accommodation at your universi adequate?			
		accommodation				
		for learning?	Υ	es Partly	No	
Mobility	dis1 \square	acom1 yes □	needs1 🗆			
Hearing	dis2	acom2 yes □	needs2 🗆			
Speech	dis3 🗆	acom3 yes □	needs3 🗆			
Vision impairment	dis4	acom4 yes □	needs4 🗆			
Learning	dis5	acom5 yes □	needs5 🗆			
Head injury	dis6 □	acom6 yes □	needs6 🗆			
Other physical disability	dis7	acom7 yes □	needs7 🗆			
Attention deficit disorder	dis8	acom8 yes □	needs8 🗆			
Mental health	dis9 🗆	acom9 yes □	needs9 🗆			
Other (specify:)	dis10 □	acom10 yes □	needs10□			
distxt						
I do not have a disability	dis11 □					
Parental educational attain	ment					

Parental educational attainment			
What is the highest level of education your mother and	d father have	e completed?	
		meduc Mother	peduc Father
Less than high school			
High school			
Some college, CEGEP or technical school (no certific diploma)	ate or		
College, CEGEP or technical school graduate			
Some university (no degree or diploma)			
Undergraduate university degree (e.g., BA, BSc, etc.)			
Professional degree (e.g., law, medicine, etc.)			
Graduate degree (e.g., Master's, PhD)			
Other – Mother (please specify)	meductxt		
Other – Father (please specify)	peductxt		
Don't know/Not applicable			



Ethnic	ity	
	Are you (check all that apply)	
eth1	Aboriginal (e.g., status, non-status, Métis, Inuit)	
eth2	Arab (e.g., Saudi, Egyptian, etc.)	
eth3	Black	
eth4	Chinese	
eth5	Filipino	
eth6	Japanese	
eth7	Korean	
eth8	Latin American	
eth9	South Asian (e.g., East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan, etc.)	
eth10	Southeast Asian (e.g., Cambodian, Indonesian, Laotian,	
	Vietnamese, etc.)	
eth11	West Asian (e.g., Afghan, Iranian, etc.)	
	White/Caucasian	
eth12	Other (please specify:)	
ethtxt		
	[If eth1 is checked branch to ab1, otherwise branch to the	
	Which of the following describes your Aboriginal bac	_
ab1	First Nations status	
ab2	First Nations non-status	
ab3	Metis	
ab4	Inuit	
ab5	Other	



